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Visualizing the Insider Threat: Challenges and tools for identifying malicious user activity

Philip A. Legg

Abstract—One of the greatest challenges for managing organisational cyber security is the threat that comes from those who operate within the organisation. With entitled access and knowledge of organisational processes, insiders who choose to attack have the potential to cause serious impact, such as financial loss, reputational damage, and in severe cases, could even threaten the existence of the organisation. Security analysts therefore require sophisticated tools that allow them to explore and identify user activity that could be indicative of an imminent threat to the organisation. In this work, we discuss the challenges associated with identifying insider threat activity, along with the tools that can help to combat this problem. We present a visual analytics approach that incorporates multiple views, including a user selection tool that indicates anomalous behaviour, an interactive Principal Component Analysis (iPCA) tool that aids the analyst to assess the reasoning behind the anomaly detection results, and an activity plot that visualizes user and role activity over time. We demonstrate our approach using the Carnegie Mellon University CERT Insider Threat Dataset to show how the visual analytics workflow supports the Information-Seeking mantra.

Index Terms—Insider threat, behavioural analysis, model visualization

1 INTRODUCTION

The threat posed by those who operate on the inside is becoming a serious risk for organisational security management. As is often recalled, “employees are an organisation’s greatest asset, and yet also their greatest threat”. Insiders may not just be employees though - they could well be stakeholders, contractors, former employees, or management - anyone who has privileged access to organisational systems and knowledge of the organisational operations could potentially attack. This could range from financial or intellectual property losses, reputational damage and negative media attention, through to damaging the competitive edge of the organisation and threatening its continued existence. According to the 2015 Insider Threat report by Vormetric [24], 93% of U.S. organisations polled responded as being vulnerable to insider threats, with 59% of U.S. respondents stating that privileged users pose the biggest threat to their organisation. It is clear then, that the threat posed by insiders is real, and that there is a need for sophisticated measures and tools in order to effectively combat this.

What is it then, that has provoked the threat of insiders to become more prevalent? One answer to this would be the increase in opportunity as a result of technology. As technology has advanced, so to have the ways that we are able to conduct our work activities. Remote logins allow us to access work resources from around the globe, such as distributed data repositories, file systems and networked software applications. Likewise, e-mail and web browsing activities allow us to communicate and engage with colleagues and friends from anywhere in the world. Such technologies provide indispensable tools that can enhance business performance and productivity, and can also help to improve the morale and satisfaction of employees, to promote a better work-life balance. However, for the insider threat who abuses such resources, these systems combined with their privileged knowledge and access allow them to steal from, disrupt, and damage the organisation, without the need for physical presence, and also with the potential of disguising or removing any trace of their actions. In the modern workplace, organisations need to provide such technologies to remain competitive and effective. Therefore, it is clear that security tools are required to flag up such suspicious activity.

One of the key challenges with all automated insider threat detection tools however, is how can the system deem what is malicious activity? Anomaly detection tools are often deployed, as malicious behaviour is typically anomalous to the user’s normal behaviour. However, anomalous behaviour is certainly not always indicative of malicious behaviour, which results in many false positives being generated, and many frustrated security analysts who have to examine each of these. There is a need then, for effective integration between automated detection routines that can help to reduce the workload of the human analyst, whilst also having powerful exploratory tools that can support the human analyst for conducting insider threat investigations.

In this paper, we propose a visual analytics approach to insider threat detection. The system incorporates an anomaly detection tool [15], with a visual analytics dashboard that facilitates an integrated exploration of both the detection results and the original activity records. In particular, the system incorporates model visualization to better understand the outcome of the detection routine, allowing the analyst to explore the relationship between the detection results and the original profile features that describe the user’s behaviour. The analyst can explore how different feature combinations impact on the detection results, and can examine which features provoke the system to identify an anomaly. With a detailed activity visualization, the analyst can also explore the raw activity data to reason as to whether or not the user poses a threat to the organisation. We also provide a use case of the visual analytics tool, along with a discussion on insider threat research and how this could be extended further in the future.

2 RELATED WORKS

For our related works, we shall consider the topics of insider threat research, anomaly detection, and security data visualization.

The topic of insider threat has received much attention in the literature. Researchers have proposed a variety of different models that are designed to prevent or detect the presence of attacks (e.g., [2], [17]). Similarly, there is much work that considers the psychological and behavioural characteristics of insiders who may pose a threat as means for detection (e.g., [1], [8], [20]). Cappelli et al. [4] classify insider attacks into three types: IT sabotage, IP theft, and data fraud. The recent report by Miller and Maxim [18] identifies that whilst cyber-security is usual thought of as a technical field, the most important aspect of security is the human element. All cyber-security incidents are the direct consequences of human behaviour. More recently, Eldardiry et al. [6] also propose a system for insider threat detection based on feature extraction from user activities. However, they do not factor in role-based assessment. In addition, the profiling stage that we perform allows us to extract many more features beyond the activity counts that they suggest. Brdiczka et al. [3] combine psychological profiling with structural anomaly detection to develop an architecture for insider-threat detection. They use data collected from the multi-player online game, World of Warcraft, to predict whether...
a player will quit their guild. In contrast to real-world insider threat detection, they acknowledge that the game contains obvious malicious behaviours, however they aim to apply these techniques to real-world enterprises. Eberle et al. [5] consider Graph-Based Anomaly Detection as a tool for detecting insiders, based on modifications, insertions and deletions of activities from the graph. They use the Enron e-mail dataset [12] and cell-phone traffic as two preliminary cases, with the intention of extending to the CMU-CERT insider threat datasets. Senator et al. [22] propose to combine structural and semantic information on user behaviour to develop a real-world detection system. They use a real corporate database, gather as part of the Anomaly Detection at Multiple Scales (ADAMS) program, however due to confidentiality they can not disclose the full details and so it is difficult to compare against the work. Parveen and Thuraisingham [21] propose an incremental learning algorithm for insider threat detection that is based on maintaining repetitive sequences of events. They use trace files collected from real users of the Unix C shell, however this public dataset is relatively dated now.

With regards to insider threat visualization, the technical report by Harris [9] discusses some of the issues related to visualizing insider threat activity. Nance and Marty [19] propose using bipartite graphs to identify and visualize insider threat activity where the nodes in the graph represent two distinct groups, such as user nodes and activity nodes, and the edges represent that a particular user has performed a particular activity. This approach is best suited for comparative analysis once a small group of users and activities have been identified, as scalability issues would soon arise in most real-world analysis tasks. Walton et al. [25] propose using conditional probability queries with a parallel co-ordinates view to investigate cases of insider threat. Since the analyst can specify queries, this means the problem space is not confined, however it does rely on the creation of well-defined queries by the analyst to identify insider threat behaviour. Stoffel et al. [23] propose a visual analytics application for identifying correlations between different networked devices, based on time-series anomaly detection and similarity models. They focus primarily at the network traffic level, and so they do not currently consider other attributes related to insider threat such as file storage systems and USB connected devices. Kintzel et al. [11] use scalable glyph-based visualization using a clock metaphor to present an overview of the activity over time of thousands of hosts on a network. Zhao et al. [26] looked at anomaly detection for social media data and presented their visualization tool FluxFlow. Again, they make use of the clock metaphor as part of their visualization, which they combine with scaled circular glyphs to represent anomalous data points. The clock metaphor has been widely used in visualization, and so we also extend this for identifying behavioural patterns as part of our system.

Previous, we have worked on developing techniques for characterising [20], and detecting [15, 14], insider threats. In this work, we study the workflow of the analyst when adopting such visual analytics tools, in order to support a visual analytics loop for the investigation of insider threat activity.

3 IDENTIFYING INSIDER THREATS

From a technical viewpoint, the detection of insider threat relies on the collection of accurate logs that detail what activities have been performed, at what time, and by whom. In the work by CMU-CERT [7], and in our synthetic data generation, there are five activities that are logged for all employees: logon, usb, http, file, email. Each activity log records the timestamp, the user ID, the activity performed, the device it was performed on (e.g., PC-123), and any additional attributes (e.g., web address, filename, e-mail recipient). Additional activities such as VPN, printing and physical building access could easily be incorporated into this same data schema, if the activity logs are made available. Detecting the presence of an insider threat can typically be described by three types of anomaly:

- **New observations** - has the user performed a new activity, or performed an activity with new attributes? (e.g., sending an e-mail to a new recipient). If the activity/attribute is new for this user, is it also new for this role (i.e., has anyone else in the same role performed this same activity before?)

- **Time of the observation** - has the user performed an activity/attribute at a different time of day compared to their usual behaviour? (e.g., logging on early). Likewise, how does this compare against other users in the same role?

- **Frequency of the observation** - has the user performed an activity/attribute more frequently compared to their usual behaviour? (e.g., downloading many files from a server). Likewise, how does this compare against other users in the same role?

From our review of insider threat cases, it was found that all known cases exhibit a change in user behaviour that could be attributed to one of these three types [20]. We therefore use these as the basis for deriving features that characterise user daily activity, which can be considered as the pre-processing for the visual analytics system. Firstly, we construct user and role profiles based on observed activity data on a daily basis (Figure 1). The profile maintains the devices, activities, and

![Fig. 2. Components of derived daily features from user profiles. A single feature consists of one activity (logon, usb, email, file, http), one type (hourly usage, new activity, new attribute), and one group (user/role). All feature combinations are computed from the user profile on a daily basis, resulting in 30 features per user per day.](image-url)
attributes that are performed by each user, and the collection of users within a particular role. Each node within the profile also maintains a histogram that shows the time usage for that particular observation. For each day, we compute observation features based on the user and role profiles (Figure 2), such as 'New device for user', 'New activity for device for role', 'New attribute for activity for device for user', and 'Hourly usage count for activity' (the complete set of features can be found in [15]). Due to the privacy concerns regarding monitoring of content, the approach has been designed without the need for incorporating such data. However, should this content be made available, (e.g., e-mail message, file content) then the observation features can be extended to accommodate this based on linguistic deviations and recognised sentiment [13].

For each day within the monitoring period, PCA is performed to identify users that exhibit irregular variances across the set of derived features. PCA is a widely used technique for dealing with high-dimensional data that performs dimensionality reduction based on the components of data that exhibit the greatest variance, and is an effective tool for identifying outliers. The analyst can configure multiple PCA detectors, based on all features or by selecting a subset of features. Previously, we have shown how this can be used to perform automated insider threat detection [14, 15].

The biggest drawback to this however, is that PCA is often regarded as a ‘black box’ approach where it is difficult to comprehend the relationship between the original feature space and the resulting PCA space. Also, how do we know that we are providing the automated approach with the ‘best’ features for identifying the current threat? There is a need therefore, to incorporate automated assessment tools with visual analytics tools, to facilitate the exploration of the insider threat detection results, and to enhance the reasoning of the analyst to understand why a particular user is considered a threat, and whether this is a justified result.

4 Visual Analytics System

We have developed a visual analytics system that is designed to support the analyst in their reasoning and exploration of insider threat detection (Figure 3). The objective is to be able to analyse the behaviour of all users within the organisation, to understand their monitored activity within the workplace, to identify users who may pose a threat based on the observable features of the user’s profile, and to explore in detail the activities of the selected users to reason whether their actions are deemed as malicious towards the organisation. As described in Section 3, the system serves as a visual analytics tool for understanding the results generated from an anomaly detection routine. With this, the visual analytics provides model visualization that enables the analyst to understand how the underlying detection routine is configured, and to assess how effective this configuration may be. Model visualization is becoming an integral part of many visual analytic tools [16, 25], enabling the analyst to better understand the machine learning or data transformation processes that are applied. Here, we incorporate an interactive PCA approach proposed by Jeong et al. [10] to highlight the relationship between the PCA space and the original feature space.

To examine the use case of the visual analytics tool, we experiment with the Carnegie Mellon University CERT insider threat datasets. In the dataset tested, there are 1000 users from 15 different job roles, spanning a time period of 17 months (1st January 2010 to 31st May 2011). The activities that are captured in this particular example are logons and logoffs, USB drive insertion and removal, http web logs, and e-mail communications. There is one instance of insider threat present within the dataset. For our implementation, we employ an open-source development stack, that aims to be fast and lightweight, can be easily deployed in any modern web browser, and offers scalability of large data storage and processing. We use MongoDB to store the raw activity data, and also the aggregated feature data for each user for each day. The back-end server that is responsible for accessing and processing the database records is developed using Python, and the Flask web framework. The front-end is developed using HTML and Javascript, using D3.js for the visual components of the dashboard.

The first step is to deploy the profiling tool for the dataset, as described in Section 3. This constructs user profiles (Figure 1), and then derives a series of daily features (Figure 2) that provide a descriptive account of the user’s behaviour (e.g., number of times they perform particular tasks, number of these activities that are new to this user, number of these activities that are new to any user in this same job role, etc.). Once profiling is complete, the analytical workflow begins, which we detail in the following sections.
Fig. 4. Step-by-step exploration of detection results using the User Selection View. Top-to-bottom: Deviation timeline (focus view), Deviation timeline (contextual view), Role deviation, User deviation. (a) Initial detection result with non-normalized features. (b) Detection result with normalized features. (c) Selection of second-most deviating role. (d) Selection of most deviating user compared against peers.

The User Selection View provides simple and clear capability to overview and filter the set of possible users. Attempting to visualize the full activity of all 1000 users would be impractical and overwhelming. Instead, by allowing the analyst to observe some summary statistic based on the observed user activity, the analyst can quickly drill down to a manageable selection of users for deeper investigation.

4.2 Zoom, Filter and Analyse

The next stage of the workflow is to dive deeper into the exploration of the selected users. From the previous stage, the analyst may have selected one, many, or all users to investigate further. Likewise, the analyst may have filtered the investigation to a particular period of time in the activity logs.

Figure 5 shows the Projection View and Feature View, which is where the main analysis begins. This comprises of a scatter plot that represents the two-dimensional PCA space (shown in the Projection View), a parallel coordinate plot that represents the selected profile features (shown in the Feature View), and a rotated parallel coordinate plot that represents the eigenspace of the PCA decomposition (shown...
point in the Projection View, or by double-clicking on a user in the User Selection View, the detailed view can be displayed. We show two variants of the detail view that are supported by the system, using either a radial plot (Figure 6(a)) or a traditional grid plot (Figure 6(b)). Using the radial plot, we map hourly activity to the angle and days to the radius. Similarly, with the grid plot, the x-axis shows hourly activity and the y-axis shows the days. In both cases, colour is used to denote activity. Transparency is used to represent activity of other users within the same role, to aid comparison between this user and their peers. The analyst can then select segments within the plot to observe details of the activity, such as e-mail recipients, web address, or filename. By selecting multiple users, comparative analysis can also be performed by with different users displayed side-by-side in a grid formation. The radial plot is typically better suited for comparative analysis due to the compact representation.

In Figure 6, it can be seen that the user begins performing activities during the early hours of the morning. In particular, this includes USB insertions, which as found previously, is an activity that no one users in this role would perform. This allows the analyst to reinforce their belief that this user is acting as a threat to the organisation. This stage is critical in the workflow of a security analyst, since a false accusation of a user would be detrimental to the integrity of the organisation, and could provoke further retaliations.

5 DISCUSSION

In the previous section, we have seen how our visual analytics approach can be used for identifying suspicious user activity. For the scenario test cases available from CMU-CERT, we have found that the combination of feature-based profiling and the visual analytics approach proves a powerful tool for identifying which users have been injected as malicious activity. In this section, we discuss some of the challenges that need to be considered for deploying real-world insider threat detection.

The first challenge comes in the form of gathering data. Large organisations may have many offices around the globe, so how can we accurately collect and compile user activity logs? How do we know that the activity logs are accurate and complete? Clearly, if the raw input data is incomplete or inaccurate, then the effectiveness of machine learning algorithms and visual analytics tools will be compromised. Likewise, if the malicious insider is capable of concealing their actions by destroying or bypassing activity log records, then all detection tools become ineffective.

Secondly, with employees working around the globe, they may use tools such as VPN to access organisational resources - but what if their travel means that their access times vary? As technology advances, and global travel becomes more vital for conducting business, employees are constantly evolving the way that they choose to work. Is there a more effective way to control remote access? Are VPNs the most appropriate tools for this purpose? These are questions that need to be considered for deploying real-world insider threat detection.

There are plenty of cases where an employee may act as an anomaly (e.g., working overtime, demonstrating initiative). Anomaly detection techniques are often criticised for producing high false positive rates. However, what is often the case is that the algorithm is right to classify particular behaviour as an anomalies, because according to what the algorithm understands as normal, these activities are different. For this reason, we have focussed on deriving suitable features from user activity profiles, so that if an anomaly is detected then this has a meaningful context. This also provokes a further question of how different does an activity need to be before it becomes a true anomaly? Since insider threat detection is concerned with finding when a user behaves in an unsuspected manner, the ability to recognise this within a dataset of all users relies not only on how the bad user is portrayed, but also how the good are too. Some organisations may operate with very strict time management of when users login or logout. Other organisations will be much more flexible. Likewise, the activities that users are observed performing may radically differ from day to day,
to understand how detection and visualization can help with the true separation between malicious and non-malicious activity.
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