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Background

- Type 2 diabetes is a serious, expensive & growing public health challenge (~10% of NHS budget)
- NICE guidance recommends diabetes prevention in people at high risk (e.g. with “pre-diabetes”) via intensive lifestyle interventions for weight loss
- One-to-one US & Finnish diabetes prevention programmes reduced risk by ~60%
- BUT, limited evidence on ‘real-world’ diabetes prevention programmes in the UK
Living Well, Taking Control

- Big Lottery-funded voluntary sector-led, with structure, content, delivery as per NICE guidance

- Initial 4-6 2-hour weekly group sessions
  - covering diabetes risk, diet, PA, well-being
  - led by trained lifestyle coaches in local venues
  - adapted to local participants’ needs…

Westbank group, Devon  
Health Exchange group, Birmingham
Living Well, Taking Control (cont)

• Followed by 3-monthly individual support contacts, attendance at 5+ additional chosen classes or activities up to 12 months…
“Pre-diabetes” participants

- Aged 18-74 years
- Considered on basis of test in last year at high risk for type 2 diabetes according to NICE criteria:
  - Fasting Plasma Glucose 6.1-6.9mmol/l, or
  - HbA1c 42-47 mmol/mol
- Resident in eligible Devon/Birmingham postcodes
- BMI ≥25 kg/m² (≥23 for certain ethnic minority groups) and <45 kg/m² (for trial)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LWTC programme group sessions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWTC programme 6m FU contacts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWTC programme 12m FU contacts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UWE evaluation baseline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UWE evaluation 6m FU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UWE 12m FU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Filling application</td>
<td>2 Approval of funding</td>
<td>3 Ethics application</td>
<td>1 Filling application</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
UWE evaluation of LWTC

Pre-post outcome evaluation
• Changes over time in provider measured and self-reported outcomes

Process evaluation
• Implementation, interpretation, service integration
• Uptake, attendance, retention, generalisability
• Fidelity of delivery (see Kok et al poster)
• Impact of “dose”, provider, participant characteristics
• Mechanisms of change (motivation, behaviours)

Social return on investment
Evaluation measures

- Demographic, socio-economic, clinical characteristics
- **Weight** assessed as part of programme
- Self-reported **physical activity** (NZPAQ)
- **Dietary behaviour** (Fat & Fibre Questionnaire)
- **Health status** (EuroQol EQ5D)
- **Mental health** (CES-D7)
- **Psychological well-being** (Warwick-Edinburgh MWS)
- **Motivation re**: PA & diet (importance, confidence)
- Sub-sample: objective PA (accelerometers), HbA1c
ComPoD trial  www.isrctn.com/ISRCTN70221670

Aim:
To evaluate the clinical and cost-effectiveness of the existing community-based diabetes prevention programme (Living Well, Taking Control) being delivered by voluntary sector providers

Design:
• 6m randomised, waiting list controlled trial across 2 sites (Devon, Birmingham)
• 12m observational follow up of intervention group
• Will link to process evaluation data
Trial outcomes & analyses

- Changes at 6m, intervention maintenance at 12m in:
  - objectively-measured **weight** (primary outcome)
  - **physical activity** (via accelerometers)
  - **blood glucose** (**HbA1c** via point of care testing)
  - self-reported **diet, health and well-being**

- **Cost-effectiveness**, including modelling long-term costs and consequences (**Sheffield SPHR model**)

- Use of wider pre-post evaluation data to explore **moderators** (e.g., participant characteristics) and **mediators** of effectiveness, **generalisability** of findings
3033 pre-diabetes patients mailed from 17 GP practices

711 (23%) responded

574 (19%) received recruitment call

352 (12%) received baseline visit

314 participants (10%) completed baseline measures & randomised

157 allocated to intervention

157 to waiting list control

LWTC: 4-6 weekly group sessions, 3m & 6m contacts

141 (90%) completed 6m follow up (+6 SR weight)

144 (92%) completed 6m follow up (+2 SR)

LWTC: 5+ additional classes, 9m & 12m contacts

25 completed 12m follow up to end Feb

• 82 wanted prog. only
• 35 contact failed
• 20 replied too late

• 70 declined involvement
• 26 wanted prog. only
• 77 ineligible
• 39 no suitable prog times*
• 10 no interpreter*

• 12 declined involvement
• 15 wanted prog. only
• 12 ineligible

* Birmingham site only

• 11 withdrawals
• 10 lost to follow up
# Trial baseline characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control n=157</th>
<th>Intervention n=157</th>
<th>Exeter n=170</th>
<th>Birmingham n=144*</th>
<th>Combined n=314</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Male gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (yrs)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>61.32</td>
<td>61.42</td>
<td>63.32</td>
<td>59.07</td>
<td>61.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>10.35</td>
<td>8.97</td>
<td>9.03</td>
<td>10.37</td>
<td>9.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>29 - 75</td>
<td>29 - 75</td>
<td>29 - 75</td>
<td>29 - 75</td>
<td>29 - 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weight (kg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>86.8</td>
<td>87.7</td>
<td>87.9</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>87.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>55.7 - 126.7</td>
<td>56.8 - 154.2</td>
<td>56.8 - 123.8</td>
<td>55.7 - 154.2</td>
<td>55.7 - 154.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BMI (kg/m²)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>31.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>24.3 - 44.8</td>
<td>24.2 - 44.6</td>
<td>25.0 - 43.9</td>
<td>24.2 - 44.8</td>
<td>24.2 - 44.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Nearly 50% estimated to be from ethnic minority*
Initial pre-post evaluation findings

- 592 participants with pre-diabetes entered LWTC across 2 sites up to April 2015
- Providers collected 6m follow up data on 237 overweight participants (40%) to Oct 2015
- Significant pre-post changes in diabetes risk factors:
  - 1.84kg weight loss (p<0.001)
  - 1.52mmol reduction in HbA1c (p<0.01)
  - increase in % doing 150mins/week PA (p<0.05)
  - increased fat & fibre intake (p<0.001)
- Significant improvements in depression (p<0.001)
- Further analyses ongoing
Conclusion

• Innovative example of robust evaluation of an existing intervention involving collaboration between multiple academic & third-sector partners

• Initial observational data suggest potential positive changes in diabetes risk factors alongside LWTC

• Process data and trial results due shortly will provide timely, more definitive evidence on effectiveness and implementation to feed into National Diabetes Prevention Programme
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Request for help!

Do you have expertise in the design or delivery of group-based interventions for diet, physical activity, weight loss (or potentially other behaviours)?

We are seeking expert advisors and examples of intervention manuals for NIHR/MRC EME funded study which commenced Jan 2016:

“Mechanisms of Action in Group Interventions”
http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/projects/eme/1420203

For more information/to express interest contact:
Aleksandra Borek (a.borek@exeter.ac.uk)
or Jane Smith (jane.smith@exeter.ac.uk)