Remembering Sir Francis Drake: Travel, Commemoration and National Identity in the Work of Henry Robarts

A number of recent studies have read early modern literature as not only expressing, but also fostering, a growth in national consciousness in the late-sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, thereby countering the perception that Britain did not qualify as a nation until the eighteenth century. Travel literature has been seen as instrumental in promoting a burgeoning sense of national identity. Narratives of voyages to the New World have been the focus of particular critical attention, with studies considering their ideological content and representational strategies, the ways in which they figure relations between trade, discovery, and colonization, and the insights afforded into an incipient sense of nationalism by the triangulated relationship between England, the New World, and England’s European competitors, primarily Spain. The heightened attention paid by new historicist critics to the mutual involvement of literature and history has fed into the widespread interest in the relationship between travel experience and travel writing, and led to a willingness to consider the nation as not only a real entity but also as something imagined or ‘written’. This article considers how the writing of travel serves as an act of nation building in the work of Henry Robarts, the author of two pamphlets celebrating the voyages of Sir Francis Drake: A Most Friendly Farewell given by a Welwiller to the Right Worshipful Sir Frauncis Drake Knight (1585), and The Trumpet of Fame: or Sir Fraunces Drakes and Sir John Hawkins Farewell (1595). Very little is known about Robarts. The brief entry on him in the Oxford Dictionary of National Biography notes that his dates of birth and death are unknown, that he was probably from Devonshire (Robarts claims an association with Drake on this basis in his pamphlets), that, on the evidence of his texts, he served in some capacity as a seaman, and that he is likely to be other than the Henry Roberts who went as ambassador to Morocco in 1585, the source of a report included in Richard Hakluyt’s Principal Navigations. Robarts is the subject of
only one critical article, published in 1932, by Louis B. Wright entitled ‘Henry Robarts: Patriotic Propagandist and Novelist’ which provides little more than a brief summary of his various writings (which include romances and records of state entertainments) and some biographical speculations; other, briefer, references to him exist in recent critical works on Elizabethan prose fiction. Robarts’ pamphlets are worthy of attention for they shed light on how travel is imagined from the perspective of home, and provide insights into the role played by the act of commemoration in constructing the nation.

What led Robarts to write celebratory pamphlets? In his address to Drake in *A Most Friendly Farewell*, Robarts claims that he has put pen to paper in response to the lack of published accounts of Drake’s achievements. Drake was in disgrace since 1589, and Hakluyt planned to omit the account of Drake’s circumnavigation of 1577-80 from the 1589 edition of his *Principal Navigations*, only subsequently adding ‘The Famous Voyage of Sir Francis Drake’ to his anthology. Indeed, Robarts’ claim that he has been forced to write because others have not conforms to the reason Hakluyt would later give in the dedicatory letter to the first volume of *The Principal Navigations* of 1599 for compiling records of voyages that ‘might commend our nation’: ‘seeing no man to step forth to undertake the recording of so many memorable actions, but every man to folow his private affaires: the ardent love of my countrey devoured all difficulties, and as it were with a sharpe goad provoked me and thrust me forward into this most troublesome and painfull action’ (*2’a*). Robarts, like Hakluyt, makes his work speak to the strength of his allegiance to Queen and country, partly by trading on the proclaimed ‘rudeness’ or simplicity of his writing. The incapacity Robarts repeatedly professes adequately to express the extent of Drake’s achievements is also a heightening device to express his subject’s worthiness, and an invitation to others to supply gaps in his narrative, for Robarts sees himself as providing the first draft of a narrative record that will be completed by those better equipped, through experience or scholarly ability, to
record Drake’s achievements. In the prefatory address to *A Most Friendly Farewell*, he expresses a wish that ‘my simple writing [. . .] may encourage others to write that can doe farre better’ (A3'-Bi'), a comment that shows that although he presents himself as merely filling a temporary breach in the commemoration of England’s worthies he also imagines an important role for his writing in inspiring better literary efforts that will further enhance England’s national glory. He may have taken his cue here from Barnabe Rich, who, in his *Allarme to England* (1578) assures the reader that ‘I have not taken in hand to write, for any vayne glory or presumption in my selfe, but rather to incite some one amongst you, that is more able & sufficient, to performe a greater enterprise [in pointing up the need for martial discipline], to a much better perfection’ and likens himself to a labouring man and his imagined successor to a ‘master workeman’.11

Robarts’ writing combines two features that Willy Maley identifies as key aspects of early modern national self-identification: the establishing of ‘classical precedent’ and the adoption of an ‘anti-European perspective’ (24). He attempts to inspire valorous acts, and to give rise to further celebratory texts, by distinguishing England’s maritime missions from those of contemporary Spain, and by invoking the example of classical Rome, in particular by upbraiding England’s failure to follow the ancient Roman practice of memorialising their heroes.12 In *Fames Trumpet Soundinge* (1589), a eulogy to Sir Walter Mildmay (a member of Queen Elizabeth’s privy council and Chancellor of the Exchequer) and Sir Martin Calthrop (a former Lord Mayor of London), Robarts claims that the lack of a printed record of Mildmay and Calthrop’s achievements sees England refusing to follow the excellent example set by ancient Rome and Greece of ‘fram[ing] eloque[n]t Oracions in the deceaseds just co[m]mendation’.13 And in the address to the soldier and merchant James Lancaster in *Lancaster his Allarums* (1595), Robarts identifies as an example worthy of imitation the ‘publicke honor’ shown by ancient Rome to the deserving, which included the granting of
‘titles of nobilitie’ as well as ‘all signes and showes of joy to welcome home such Adventurers that their Countreys honor and Princes benefit had any way adventured’. This is presumably the kind of welcome outlined in the Chorus to Act Five of Shakespeare’s _Henry V_ (1600) where ‘The Mayor and all his brethren in best sort; / Like to the senators of th’antique Rome / With the plebeians swarming at their heels, / Go forth and fetch their conquering Caesar in’. Both in _Lancaster his Allarums_ and in _A True Relation of a Most Worthy and Notable Fight_ (1616), which recalls English ships’ successful encounter with Turkish galleys in the Straits of Gibraltar in 1615, Robarts notes that Romans and Grecians ‘so honoured their Nation’ that they rewarded the worthy irrespective of birth, which worked as a stimulus for future achievement and ought to serve as a model for contemporary England. The Romans, he writes,

 caus[ed] them [the valiant] in tryu[m]phs, to ride throughout their Citties erecting _Trophies_, in memorie of their actions. Thorough which, they encouraged the noble minded, and moved the most basest groome to adventure. Yf such were the manners and conditions, of our minded countrymen how flourishing an estate might we boast of: But we, forgetting virtue, estéeme wealth, not vallor, (not men) before money, but money farre before men. (_Lancaster his Allarums B’)"

For Robarts, the refusal to grant the deserving their due equates to a in itself dishonourable privileging of money over valour and exists as the anti-type of the ideal national temper he elsewhere claims for England as distinct from Spain (namely, disinterested valour). ‘If Roome [sic] the abject of the earth now for her vice’ once ‘paid tribute to valour’ then ‘Why should not our thrise famous Cittie of London the only wounder [sic] of the earth for beautie, government and welth, holde their honor in like account?’ (_Lancaster his Allarums B’_). Though contemporary England is thought to surpass contemporary Rome in its present moral condition and political and financial security, it is seen as failing to capitalise on these advantages by neglecting to provide, or deliberately withholding, praise from those who deserve it. Robarts takes this refusal or neglect as evidence of near-sightedness. He castigates
our ungratefull Cuntreymen which holde Honours Champions in account [no] longer then the present occasion of use serveth for them. Which maketh us so common a by woord amongst other Nations for our ungratefulness: If wee could aswell imitate in good actions such noble Straungers as have lived in elder time as follow, their vanities and manners in all vicieus exercises, wee might well be noted for the moste only people of the whole earth: Then might that most excellent Histories which now declare the noble and bountifull minds of the Romaines bee shut up, and our Histories fill the eares of all Nations with reportes of our now living and brave minded adventures, but those times be past, such men live not to recompence their worthines nor those of learning to give them their due. (‘The Most Honorable Attempts and Fortunate Successe of our Worthy Citizen and Braue Minded Generall James Lancaster, and his Associates’, Lancaster his Allarums B)

For Robarts, this lack of due recognition for the deserving means that we are guilty of choosing to imitate the worst, rather than the best, features of classical civilisation. England should follow the example of ancient Rome in being mindful of its posterity through preserving in memory present activities. This, of course, is what Robarts is doing not only in his pamphlets on Drake but also in such texts as Fames Trumpet Soundinge, which similarly work as a form of public recognition of recent achievements designed to bring about the future performance of worthy deeds.

The passage above from Lancaster his Allarums attempts to sting national pride by offering a covert reminder that England’s current actions do not stack up against those of certain of their present-day European competitors. Hakluyt, too, acknowledges ‘that our successe hath not bene correspondent’ to that of the Spanish and Portuguese:

Sithens therefore these two worthy Nations had those bright lampes of learning (I meant the most ancient and best Philosophers, Historiographers and Geographers) to shewe them light; and the loadstarre of experience (to wit those great exploits and voyages layed vp in store and recorded) whereby to shape their course: what great attempt might they not presume to vndertake? But alas our English nation, at the first setting foorth for their Northeasterne discovery, were either altogether destitute of such cleare lights and inducements, or if they had any inkling at all, it was as misty as they found the Northren seas, and so obscure and ambiguous, that it was meet rather to deterre them, then to give them encouragement. (‘A Preface to the Reader as Touching the Principall Voyages and Discourses in this First Part’ Principal Navigations (1599) [*4"]).

The Spanish and Portuguese have, at present, the advantage of the English in their access to textual records of earlier voyages: a claim that works to promote Hakluyt’s project of
gathering evidence of a history of English maritime achievement that later ages might be similarly benefitted. Robarts’ desire to secure the enabling condition for future heroic actions speaks to a wish to strengthen England’s self-identification as a maritime nation in ways that will enable it to compete with its European neighbours in the acquisition of overseas wealth, the establishing of overseas colonies, and the funding of further long-distance voyages. If we alter our ways, our very mode of thinking, Robarts argues, we can replace a cultural indebtedness to antiquity with a self-reliance on contemporary actions (both textual and experiential), seen as worthy successors to past glories.

In imagining a necessary link between the lack of due regard given to recent actions and the failure – the lack of will – to perform similar acts in the future, Robarts encourages us to view praise as simultaneously the due deserts of the traveller and an impetus to future achievement. This puts him in line with Sir Philip Sidney’s central premise in *An Apologie for Poetrie* (1595) that poetry ought to inspire acts of virtue;¹⁷ it also creates an important role for writing in the formation of the national character.¹⁸ In *A True Relation of a Most Worthy and Notable Fight*, Robarts assures the reader that because brave ‘actions for saveguard [. . .] of Shippe and good’ are not rewarded and are barely acknowledged at the crew’s return this is ‘often the cause of much losse to owners and Marchants’ for only if the crew are given ‘either good word, or good deed’ will they and those who come after them be inclined to risk their lives in defence of the ship and her goods (A3v-A4r). Public recognition is imagined alongside financial renumeration as a whetstone to honour. Robarts’ call, in the dedication to this text, for ‘Owners and Merchantes’ to reward their factors in order to give rise to similar acts of valour serves a national agenda as a way to make ‘this Kingdome be more better replenished with able and sufficient men to fight in their defence, if occasion should bee’ (B3v). Here Robarts sees mercantile travel as serving national interests, not only by enriching the country but also by tightening national security. Robarts goes further still in other of his
writings by establishing links between commerce and honour: a not-uncommon gesture in late sixteenth-century writing when, as Richard Helgerson has shown, merchants were discussed in inappropriately courtly and heroic terms in order to redeem them from earlier accusations of greed or venality and to lend support to commercial expansion facilitated by trade.19 (Indeed, Helgerson notes that it is only by means of appeals to the nation that the rapprochement between such distinct and potentially antithetical categories as honour and profit could be effected.) In Robarts’ prose romance *Honours Conquest* (1598) the negative associations of trade are offloaded onto Spain, enabling Robarts to share in Hakluyt’s attempts to claim honourable mercantile motives as the chief distinguishing feature of England’s overseas missions.20

Returning to the way in which Robarts aims through his writing to inspire noble actions, one notes that Drake is held up by Robarts and others as a model Englishman for his ability to encourage his crew, and to obtain their good will and loyalty through fair treatment.21 In *A Most Friendly Farewell*, Robarts addresses Drake as ‘a mirror for our countrymen to looke into, by your example to move others to the attempting the like enterprises’ (A3\'). This, then, is an instance of the perception found so often in early modern literature that examples constitute the best kind of teaching.22 In the final section of the text, headed ‘Robarts his farewell to the saylers and souldiours appointed for this exploite’, Robarts reminds the sailors,

\[\ldots\text{how he [Drake] hath enrich[ed] the land, and stored it with gold.} \]
\[\text{And how he did reward his men, my pen can scarce unfold:} \]
\[\text{And honored them in al their deeds, because they did take pain,} \]
\[\text{And friendship ever at his hands their dutie did them gaine. (B3\')}\]

Robarts is here conflating the discourse of friendship with that of (implicitly financial) reward in suggesting that the crew’s loyalty to Drake will be amply, even doubly, repaid:23 a clear attempt to forestall the kind of dissension that Hakluyt’s narratives show to have been a fairly common feature of long-distance travel. Robarts may have been reminded of this
possibility by reading Hakluyt’s ‘The Famous Voyage of Sir Francis Drake’, where the narrator notes that Thomas Doughtie’s mutiny against Drake’s authority, if unchecked, might have resulted in the failure of the mission, and where he attributes to Drake’s awareness of this possibility his willingness to override his strong personal affection for Doughtie in agreeing to his trial and execution. This incident is elaborated on in Drake’s *The World Encompassed* (1628) where Doughtie’s treachery serves as an example illustrating the point that internal dissension presents a greater threat to a mission than those encountered from other nations.

Robarts aims in his writing to gain assent and minimise the threat of dissent, and to this end he creates the impression of a collective goodwill amongst sailors. In *A Most Friendly Farewell*, for example, Robarts contradicts his earlier claim, made in a different context, that enmity and envy wish to deprive Drake of his right of being honoured and remembered, when he notes that Drake ‘the love of all hath gaind from best unto the worst’ (Bii’). This emphasis on unity links Robarts’ pamphlets praising Drake to his records of civic entertainments and romances which, in describing harmonious relations between host and guest, model the good-natured reciprocity he hopes will be maintained in the context of voyages of exploration between governor and crew (in order to avoid mutiny) and crew and natives (in order to establish trade relations). Robarts’ texts include numerous reports of obstacles overcome, tensions dissipated through deft management, and crises averted. *A Most Friendly Farewell* ends with an exhortation to the crew to loyalty and obedience to their governor, Drake, and beyond him to Queen and country and ultimately to God. It thereby links to a number of contemporary texts designed to act as a prophylactic against military disorder, such as *Lawes and Orders of Warre* (1638), a government publication that reminds subjects of the need for discipline and compliance with authority, and warns them to avoid any words or actions ‘tending to Mutinie’.24 In attempting to guarantee the loyalty of Drake’s
crew Robarts turns their attention outwards, to Spain, as an alternative focus for potential hostilities. The crew are encouraged to be courageous and to land ‘english blows’ on the national enemy, every one of them told to ‘slay of Spaniards ten’ (*A Most Friendly Farewell* [Ci’], Biii’).

Therefore agree in unitie, and love one with the other, [An]d joine your selves in amitie, as brother with his brother. [. . .] in this cause you are as one, though many soules you be, Then fellowe mates looke to your selves, and never disagree. ([Ci’])

Robarts’ second text on Drake, *The Trumpet of Fame*, is not only laudatory: its ancillary purpose is to remind the reader or auditor that the shared enterprise is endangered by factionalism and discontent. It exhorts men involved in the forthcoming voyage to civil obedience - thereby inadvertently showing that this is by no means assured - by requiring them to ‘Learne by his [Drake’s] worth, in actions he hath past’ (3); the forthcoming journey provides an opportunity to reflect on, and perpetuate the knowledge of, former instances of good conduct. Robarts is thus involved in writing, in an albeit small way, a history, and a narrative, of English maritime achievement. As the title *A Most Friendly Farewell given by a Welwiller to the Right Worshipful Sir Frauncis Drake Knight* [. . .]. *Wherin is Briefely Touched his Perils Passed in his Last Daungerous Voyage, with an Incouragement to all his Saylers and Souldiers, to be Forward in this Honourable Exploite* indicates, this text is poised between journeys: looking back to past actions and forward to future ones that might be determined by the lessons provided by recent history, and that are pointed out, for the crew and reader, by Robarts. In referring to those who in Drake’s last voyage ‘by demeanor ill, hath brought them selves to careful woe’ (Bi"") Robarts shows the *consequences* of disloyalty as an object lesson for future journeys, and this didactic function is an important aspect of his texts.

In his pamphlets on Drake, Robarts attempts to procure the crew’s good behaviour by reminding them of the risk they would otherwise take not only with regard to their physical
wellbeing but also, more pressingly, to their reputation (‘Now is your bravery to be shew[n], there must you al take pain,/ Else look for lasting ignominie when you returne againe’ (‘Robartes his Farewel to the Right Worshipful Syr Frances Drake Knight, and to his Gentlemen Followers...’, A Most Friendly Farewell Bii’). Although I have found no evidence that Robarts’ verses were pronounced as a farewell for Drake’s crew before being printed, the lines ‘My loving friends and countrymen, let these my words availe, / And thinke upon these simple lines, when ship is under saile’ (‘Robarts his Farewell to the Saylers and Souldiours Appointed for this Exploite’, A Most Friendly Farewell Ci) suggest that the crew are given a record of Robarts’ exhortation to take with them on their journey at a time prior to publication. Lines like ‘At your returne, then shall you honour have, / As your deserts by venturing farre shall crave’ from The Trumpet of Fame (5) give the impression that these words are voiced in real time as part of an act of public farewell in order more effectively to suggest that good favour is conditional on the crew’s behaviour (and, of course, Drake’s achievements) on the journey, and to imply that Robarts will be instrumental in its bestowal. Robarts’ recommendation of the mission to the favourable attention of the reader is itself a type of reward, and therefore serves as a means for him to align himself with Drake as a kind of maritime benefactor. The Trumpet of Fame is subtitled Sir Fraunces Drakes and Sir John Hawkins Farewell with an Encouragement to all Saile[rs] and Souldiers that are Minded to go in this Worthie Enterprise; this ‘encouragement’ is clearly a textual one that depends in part on Robarts recalling the reader to that offered by Drake to his crew. Drake is not the only one capable of incentivising sailors and merchants to behave honourably by boosting their morale or stinging their national pride, for Robarts implicitly claims some of this ground for himself.

Robarts’ pamphlets exist as part of a sub-genre of texts offering a farewell to those about to travel (or a welcome home for returning travellers) that hold out the promise of good
reputation and fame to the crew as a reward for their obedience. One thinks here, for example, of Thomas Churchyard’s *The Fortunate Farewel to the most Forward and Noble Earle of Essex* (1599) presented to the Earl of Essex when about to sail for Ireland to quell the Tyrone rebellion, which aims

to stirre up a threefold manly courage to the [me]rcenarie multitude of soliders, that follow this Marshall like Generall, and especially to move all degrees in generall loyalty to serve our [Goo]d Queene Elizabeth, and valiantly to go through with good reso[lut]ion the acceptable service they take in hand. Which true service shall double their renowne, and enrol their names in the memorial booke [of] fame for ever.25

Another of Churchyard’s texts, *A Discourse of the Queenes Majesties Entertainement in Suffolk and Norf[ol]k [.. .]. Whereunto is Adjoyned a Commendation of Sir Humfrey Gilber[s] Ventrous [sic] Journey* (1578) links to Robarts’ pamphlets on Drake in a number of ways.26

For instance, it notes that Humphrey Gilbert and his crew ‘with one mind, and one consent / do hope to hitte one marke’ (H3v), emphasising unity in order to bring it about, and it promises Gilbert and his crew ‘a greater prayse’ at their return than that offered at their departure: ‘A Booke that to the loftie Skyes, / your rare renowne shall rayse’ (‘A Matter touching the Journey of Sir Humfrey Gilbarte [sic] Knight’ K2v, K3v). In an addendum to the text entitled ‘A welcome home to Master Martin Frobisher’ Churchyard acknowledges that those who accompanied Frobisher on his journeys deserve to share in his fame not only as a recompense for their labours but also ‘to the encouraging of others to the like adventure’ ([L4v]); if only he knew their names he would ‘willingly [. . .] put [them] in a Register of good reporte’ ([L4v])!

Robarts sets out specifically to redress a critical imbalance whereby those deserving of fame are relatively neglected in the popular imagination. In *A Most Friendly Farewell*, he objects that those who ‘hath not undertaken the one halfe of your [Drake’s] troubles [are] registred in the minds of all men for ever, by their meanes which hath by wryting given them their desartes’ (A2v) – a comment pointing to the power of writing to influence and even alter
public perception and one gesturing to a possible gap between maritime achievement and its record, which is a source of national concern and even shame. This is a gap that Robarts advertises himself as helping to fill. In this text, he cautiously upbraids those who might have written in praise of Drake but did not:

All this our Poets might have wrote if they his fame did te[n]der,
Besides the wealth that he home brought a good cause to reme[m]ber
You might have taken this small paines the same by pen to shew,
To make his name with fame resound & cause the world to know.
When many a thousand lived at home and slept with quiet ease.
Great paines abroad our Knight endured with perils on the seas. (Bii’)

Robarts represents travel in utterly conventional terms for the period; Churchyard, for example, in his panegyric to Gilbert, provides an extended opposition between travellers and stay-at-homes along just these lines: by allying it to a related opposition between labour and ease. Robarts’ lines imply that to record Drake’s exploits is the least one can do given the ‘Great paines’ taken by Drake. That is, Drake’s achievements bestow a moral obligation on the countrymen whom they have benefited to celebrate his actions. If Drake, Lancaster, Calthrop, Mildmay and others have earned their fame, then pamphlets such as Robarts’ simply give the deserving their due. Robarts establishes a sort of parity between himself and Drake through the duplication of the word ‘paines’, Robarts having himself taken the ‘small paines’ of writing of Drake’s achievements as a fitting response to and recompense for the ‘Great paines’ taken by Drake on his travels. In a further gesture of affinity, those who have ‘slept with quiet ease’ are the opposite not only of Drake but also of Robarts himself who, in writing, has undergone a different but compatible form of labour. One is reminded here of the etymological link between ‘travel’ and ‘travail’ frequently asserted in early modern texts. Hakluyt, too, imagines the extent of his reading in compiling a record of England’s maritime achievements as commensurate with the physical demands of long-distance voyaging, recounting, in ‘A Preface to the Reader’ of the first volume of the *Principal Navigations*,
The writing of travel, like travel itself, is a heroic feat: a taking of ‘paines’ for the benefit of the country.

Robarts assumes for his pamphlets a more important role in the maintenance of his subjects’ fame than might at first be apparent. In *A Most Friendly Farewell*, he initially appears to have no further ambition than to follow the custom (which he claims as typically English) of ‘represent[ing] unto our betters whome we honour some simple gift as a farewell in writing whereby their names might be remembred in their absence’ (Address ‘To the Right Worshipful and Thrise Renowmed Gentleman of our time Syr Frauncis Drake’ A2”). However, the accompanying comment ‘would my abilitie were answerable to my wil, then should thy honourable name live eternally amongst us men on the earth’ ([A3’]), though couched in a typical gesture of *deminutio*, imagines a commensurability between the quality of his report and the longevity of Drake’s fame, asserting real, if only potential, agency for his writing. Robarts goes on: ‘Would God my skill were such thy praise so for to paint, / That forren foes to heare thereof with danted feare should faint’ (‘Robartes his Farewel to the Right Worshipful Syr Frances Drake Knight, and to his Gentlemen Followers...’, *A Most Friendly Farewell* Bii”). He aims, then, to participate in out-facing the national enemy - and thereby to share in Drake’s own achievement - through writing. An indication that Robarts is more confident and self-assertive than first appears can also be found in *Lancaster his Allarums* where he moves within the one sentence from expecting that ‘some of good learning for honor of our Land, will register their names to eternall honor [ie. those who have successfully raided Spanish and Portuguese ships]’ to saying that ‘I have set downe [their]
proceedings in this action, that our brave minded youths in tyme to come seeing what hath bene done, by men of our time, [and] endevoring to deserve like [them], [may] honor [their] co[u]ntry, & remaine a terror to all enimies’ (Bv). This is Robarts silently stepping into the shoes of the learned man whose lack he purports to lament.

That aside, Robarts does not go as far as he might in claiming a privileged place for writing, for he neglects certain opportunities to point up the role played by the written record in the promulgation of his subjects’ fame. *The Most Royall and Honourable Entertainement, of the Famous and Renowned King, Christiern [sic] the Fourth, King of Denmarke* (1606), for example, notes that the hospitality afforded Christian IV by James I on his visit to England ‘shall never be rased out of memory’:29 a compliment which, referring as it does to the living memory of the spectators, does not acknowledge the dependence of the perpetuation of this memory on texts like his own which provide an afterlife for entertainments that are in themselves ephemeral. Strangely, for works lamenting the relative lack of written accounts of notable achievements, the fame of those Robarts celebrates often seems to exist independently of any literary means to promulgate it. In the case of Drake, this is likely to be a nod to the fact that Robarts was offering the public memorials of already-famous exploits (and thereby using Drake’s name and fame in order to sell his pamphlets). Drake’s fame and popularity was not by the 1590s dependent on any literary report. Though his journey had symbolic significance as the pinnacle of English maritime achievement it received only cursory treatment in Hakluyt’s *Principal Navigations* where it appears as something of an afterthought, as mentioned earlier. As Mary C. Fuller notes, ‘here was an event that in a sense did not need Hakluyt to be remembered. [. . .] For the very largest reputations and national events, print was not the only guarantor of memory and fame’ (‘Writing the Long-Distance Voyage’ 5). In Robarts’ pamphlets on Drake, as in his contemporary Nicholas Breton’s *A Discourse in Commendation of the Valiant as Vertuous*
Minded Gentleman, Master Frauncis Drake, with a Rejoysing of his Happy Adventures (1581), Drake’s fame is imagined as, at turns, already secured and as contingent on the act of commemoration.30 (Breton notes of Drake that ‘Fame, tells his wonders, his wonderful prowess, his worthy praise: & his worthines hath wur[n] him Fame most wonderfull’ (Aiii’). Fame, in this conception, is not only a natural corollary of honourable actions, but also a strangely self-sustaining means to perpetuate them. And yet, Breton also exhorts well-wishers to join in ‘due prayse of the wonderfull parts of such worthy persons, that their deedes recorded, their Fame may be sounded’ (Aiiii’), a comment that imagines fame as consequent on written recommendation.) In A Most Friendly Farewell, Robarts promises to pen the crew a panegyric verse as a recompense for their toil, but, seemingly, only after they have acquired fame or good name as an inevitable reward for their efforts.

Then valiant harts that seeke for fame, be sure the same to gain
For with her trumpe of glitring gold she wil requite your pain.
And at your home returne againe, such praise for you ile write,
Shall do you good to heare the same your travel to requite.
(‘Robarts his Farewell to the Saylers and Souldiours Appointed for this Exploite’ [Ci’])

Robarts here treats fame and publication as semi-autonomous entities, which suggests that his subjects’ fame is both dependent on, and independent of, written records like his own. And yet, because the fact that his subjects are already famous brings into question the need for Robarts’ commendations, he has to imagine some role for his pamphlets in the constitution of that fame. This sometimes involves him in paradoxes. In Haigh for Devonshire (1600), for example, he sets out to report the activities of ‘these famous men’, without which they would ‘lye / In darke oblivion’ (B’). Such comments, in which Robarts represents himself as responsible for securing a fame that has already been won, speak to mutually incompatible impulses: the attempt to establish the incontrovertible and inevitable fact of his subject’s fame, and the wish to carve out a position for himself in the maintenance of that fame. Robarts may also be negotiating here between verbal and written means of conferring ‘fame’;
A Most Friendly Farewell, for example, imagines writing as merely consolidating a fame that is spread verbally.

Though Robarts may have read Hakluyt’s influential ‘The Famous Voyage of Sir Francis Drake’ before writing The Trumpet of Fame, he can also rely to an extent on other, extra-literary sources of information on Drake to flesh out details in his account. In touching on the honour Drake gained in his circumnavigation of the globe he notes: ‘I do referre, / To stories large, where registred they are’ (The Trumpet of Fame 3). In the absence of a reference to a specific text or texts this reads as an appeal to the record of this voyage found in the collective consciousness of the nation, the popular imagination. Robarts’ praise of men who have already won ‘immortall fame’ (The Trumpet of Fame 3), means that there are certain things that do not need to be said, meaning that Robarts can leave gaps in his narrative confident that they will be filled by the public knowledge of these men’s achievements. Indeed, the blanks are a way of reminding the reader of what they already know. In The Trumpet of Fame, Robarts notes that it is ‘néedless here at large for to set downe’ (8) the gains made by one of the ships involved in the enterprise. And in Fames Trumpet Soundinge, he lauds Mildmay’s ‘zeale to learning’, evidenced in his founding of Emmanuel College, Cambridge, which ‘The world doth know if I forget to tell’ (A4v) – a conceit that works against his own justification for writing expressed in his opening address. This is not to say that Robarts does not also see himself as adding to the stock of knowledge, providing the contemporary reader with information that he or she may not have known: Mildmay’s charity work, for example, ‘merits to be told’ and ‘Deserves by fame to be engraven in Gold’ (Biv). The title ‘The Trumpet of Fame’, which Robarts may have borrowed from Breton, would seem to claim for his writing the ability to heighten the fame of his subjects.

Robarts, as a former seaman and, as Wright terms it, ‘laureate of sailors’ (182), is both writer and traveller, though, unlike Drake, he does not seem to have written up his own
experiences. Neither did he take part in the voyages he celebrates, and there is nothing in his texts of Breton’s preoccupation with the implication of this lack of experience for the nature and status of the written record. Robarts’ material is culled from the same store of national wisdom to which it contributes, rather than being based on any privileged knowledge. What is lost in the uniqueness or individuality of Robarts’ reports is gained in their representativeness, and this ought, if one takes Robarts at his word, to make the opinions recorded in his text of interest to posterity as a fairly reliable guide to contemporary responses to, and opinions on, Drake. In *The Trumpet of Fame* he ends his roll-call of ships involved in past glorious actions with the lines ‘What other ships of forrraine soyle there go, / I do omit, because I do not know’ (9), an almost comically blunt instance of Robarts’ lack of self-consciousness in acknowledging his own limitations. This gives his texts a provisional feel, for whilst Robarts does not know the particulars of Drake’s voyages there are those who *do* (including, of course, Drake himself) and who might therefore supply gaps in his narrative. (Such comments may also serve as a covert request for inclusion in a future mission, that his experiences might inform his ‘roming quill’ (*The Trumpet of Fame* 4). Given that this phrase hints at a possibly subversive lack of verisimilitude or veracity, Robarts may be holding out employment on a subsequent journey as a way of obviating the need for literary invention.) Robarts is, he says, merely helping to plug a gap between the extent of Drake’s fame and the relative paucity of written accounts of his voyages. And yet, this is no humble position, for Robarts’ texts not only present certain actions as worthy of public remembrance (and theorise that presentation) but are also imagined to have real efficacy by inspiring the reader to imitate the worthy: indeed, they set out an imitative pattern imagined as shaping England’s national identity. Remembering Drake is not only a service to Drake, it is also a means of winning further honour, prestige, and power for England and the English, as well as a means for Robarts to achieve a modest and short-lived literary fame
through his association with Drake. Robarts aims not only to inspire readers to duplicate the acts of heroism he records, partly by polarising slothful stay-at-homes and valiant adventurers abroad, \(^{32}\) but also to encourage further acts of writing-as-remembering, which will in turn act as guides to future conduct. This and other aspects of Robarts’ writing show that, though he is a marginal and neglected figure, his work offers perspectives on the ways in which long-distance travel was imagined and written, and on how such acts of commemoration bear on incipient forms of nationalism.
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