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Interprofessional learning: the wider context

Interprofessional learning does not occur in a vacuum

• Wider social and political agendas
• Professional and occupational views and priorities
• Interpersonal and individual issues
Evaluating the UWE interprofessional curriculum

• Curriculum introduced in the faculty in 2000
• Six week module in each year, compulsory and assessed
• Students from:
  adult nursing  children’s nursing
  diagnostic radiography  learning disabilities nursing
  mental health nursing  midwifery
  occupational therapy  physiotherapy
  radiotherapy  social work
Evaluating the UWE interprofessional curriculum

‘Interprofessional Education occurs when two or more professions learn with, from and about each other to improve collaboration and the quality of care.’

• Small group work
• Enquiry-based learning
Evaluating interprofessional learning

- Who are the evaluators? Researcher reflexivity
- What methods are appropriate?
- Does it work? Are the effects maintained?
Evaluating the UWE interprofessional curriculum - evaluators

• Important to have independence between delivery and evaluation
• Evaluators cannot help seeing life from their own perspective
• Time made to discuss differences in views
Evaluating the UWE interprofessional curriculum – choice of methods

• Choose a method which will allow exploration of complexity

• Most useful – longitudinal multi-method projects

• Research questions are the primary factor affecting the choice of methods
Evaluating the UWE interprofessional curriculum – choice of methods

Exploring complexity

• Realist evaluation (Pawson & Tilley 1997)

• Focus on context, mechanism and outcome

• Key question: What works for whom in what context?

Study design

• Funding for longitudinal multi-method study
Evaluating the UWE interprofessional curriculum – choice of methods

Research questions (1)

• How do health and social care students assess their communication and teamwork skills?
• What are their attitudes to collaborative learning and working?
• (How) do these factors change during their pre-qualifying education and in practice as qualified professionals?
Evaluating the UWE interprofessional curriculum – choice of methods

Research questions (2)

• What do students think about their experience of interprofessional learning in academic settings?

• What opportunities do students have for cultivating collaborative skills in practice placement settings?
Evaluating the UWE interprofessional curriculum – methods used

Mixed-methods study:

• Survey of student attitudes

• Observation of IPL groups and student placements

• Individual and group interviews with students
The UWE Interprofessional Questionnaire - development

Mindful of realist evaluation – what works for whom in what context?

• Demographic data

• Pool of questions based on the available literature
The UWE Interprofessional Questionnaire - development

Scale construction

• Factor analysis – statement loading > 3.5

• 4 scales:
  ➢ Communication and Teamwork Scale
  ➢ Interprofessional Learning Scale
  ➢ Interprofessional Interaction Scale
  ➢ Interprofessional Relationships Scale
The UWE Interprofessional Questionnaire - validation

Each scale tested for reliability:

• Internal consistency
  ➢ Cronbach’s \textit{alpha}: 0.71 – 0.84

• Stability
  ➢ Test – retest
  ➢ Pearson’s \textit{rho}: 0.77 – 0.86
The UWE Interprofessional Questionnaire - validation

Concurrent validity established for each scale:

- Communication and Teamwork Scale – *Interpersonal Communication Competence Scale* (Rubin & Martin 1994)
- Interprofessional Interaction Scale – supported by qualitative data
- Interprofessional Relationships Scale – *Interdisciplinary Education Perception Scale* (Leucht et al 1990)
The UWE Interprofessional Questionnaire – data collection and analysis

• Four data collection points:
  1. On entry to the programme \(n=852\)
  2. Midway through year 2 \(n=627\)
  3. At qualification \(n=526\)
  4. After one year’s qualified practice \(n=249\)

• Logistical issues

• Large variation in size of student groups – non-parametric data analysis
Evaluating the UWE interprofessional curriculum – qualitative methods

Data collection

• Interviews with students – recorded and transcribed

• Observation – fieldnotes taken

Data analysis:

• Thematic analysis
Evaluating the UWE interprofessional curriculum – did it work?

Survey outcomes – comparison between IP and UP cohorts

• IP cohort more confident/positive about:
  ➢ their own communication skills
  ➢ their interprofessional relationships
  ➢ other professionals’ interaction

• IP cohort showed positive correlations between perceptions of their skills and their interprofessional relationships
Evaluating the UWE interprofessional curriculum – did it work?

Outcomes – analysis of qualitative data from qualified practitioners

• IP respondents showed
  ➢ greater awareness of the value of reflection on practice
  ➢ a more complex understanding of teamworking

• Some IP respondents said
  ➢ they only realised the importance of their IPL experience once they were in practice
  ➢ IPL had raised their awareness of relevant issues
Evaluating the UWE interprofessional curriculum – did it work?

• ‘Chain strategy’ – explored:
  ➢ perceived effect of IPL on IP skills in practice
  ➢ perceived impact of IP skills in practice on service/care delivery

• Participants said:
  ➢ good IP working enhances care
  ➢ IPL had a direct impact on their ability to put IP skills into practice

• Some evidence that effective IPL can impact favourably on service/care delivery
Evaluating the UWE interprofessional curriculum – key factors

Context

• Analysis of demographic data
  ➢ age
  ➢ previous HE experience

• Unintended consequences
  ➢ stereotyping
  ➢ divisiveness
  ➢ skilled facilitation essential

Mechanism

• Small group work and EBL effective
Evaluating the UWE interprofessional curriculum – points to consider

• Many students did not appreciate IPL modules
• IP respondents grew more critical of IPL during and after their professional education
• IPL appears to produce and sustain skills for effective IP working in practice
• Individuals’ perceptions of their experience are not adequate as an evaluative measure of IPL
Evaluating interprofessional learning – ongoing issues

• Where is the service user/patient in IPL?

• What role does informal learning play in IPL?

• Can IPL result in lasting behaviour change? How can any such change be measured and demonstrated?
Interprofessional learning – how complexity enhances evaluation

• Can help researchers to exercise comprehensive researcher reflexivity

• Can allow researchers to gain greater understanding of salient issues

• Can significantly increase understanding of causes underlying outcomes
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