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Abstract

Purpose

Ghost tours are an important part of tourism in many towns and cities around the world. Described as light dark tourism, they are a mix of the macabre and entertainment. Ghost tours are usually small business enterprises. In order for their venture to be sustainable, ghost tour operators must engage in effective entrepreneurial marketing practices. This study aims to evaluate the extent to which ghost tour operators employ entrepreneurial marketing within their business.

Design/methodology/approach

A qualitative bricolage approach is utilised as a way to explore the use of entrepreneurial marketing practices within ghost tourism; that is, a niche tourism product. Data were collected using 21 in-depth interviews, participant observation and analysis of venture websites. This study employed a two-stage data analysis procedure.

Findings

Findings reveal that ghost tour operators practice several dimensions of entrepreneurial marketing that are often simultaneously present and interwoven through the practices ghost tour operators use, as identified by thematic analysis.

Originality/value

This study adds an entrepreneurial marketing lens to the light dark tourism literature.
Introduction

As night falls in numerous towns and cities around the world a small part of the landscape is transformed into a “lighter” dark tourism playground, where believers and sceptics alike follow the lamplight of a costumed figure through darkened streets and alleyways in an activity known as ghost tourism. As the guide beckons his fellow walkers through the display of “spooky places”, they inevitably pass by bars, cafes and theatres, where tourists, whose preference for the evening’s entertainment, is to stay in the land of the living. In somewhat of a juxtaposition, these walkers seek an experience that brings them closer to the world of the dead, or at least the possibility of it. Indeed, despite this touristscape seemingly transformed by the darkness of night into a place of intrigue and possibility, much has to be left up to the imagination and openness to the power of suggestion. Even then, the chance of seeing a ghost hangs very much in the balance.

Ghost tourism is part of the phenomenon known as dark tourism or thanatourism, pertaining to tourist activities with travel to and experiences of locations and architecture associated with death, dying and disaster (Lennon and Foley, 2000; Stone, 2006). Dark tourism is defined along a continuum of dark to light, with ghost tourism fitting into the lighter shade of the range of dark tourism activities (Brown et al., 2012). With the darkest of dark tourism ascribed to places of large-scale atrocities, death and suffering (such as concentration camps) and by some an ability for recall within living memory (Lennon and Foley, 2000), lighter shades are delivered as a flexible mix of both the macabre and entertainment. Brown et al., (2012) state that although “the postmodern credentials of dark tourism are debatable, what is not in dispute is the part played by marketing. In order to attract visitors, dark places must be packaged, promoted, priced and positioned, just like any other product or service” (p. 198). However, despite the growing popularity of ghost tourism, it is still best regarded as special interest tourism (Dunkley et al., 2011; Warner, 1999), where
market appeal and product delivery requires more than the standard marketing template used to market mainstream tourist activities.

The purpose of this paper is to examine the phenomenon of ghost tourism through the lens of entrepreneurial marketing (EM); also described as the marketing/entrepreneurship interface. Specifically here the focus is on walking ghost tours of a “Casperian” nature rather than ventures that focus on a scientific experience of paranormal activity and the use of specialist recording and measurement equipment. We use the term “Casperian” in memory of “Casper, The Friendly Ghost” developed in the late 1930s by Seymour Reit and Joe Oriolo and a popular cartoon character during the 1960’s, whose ghostly antics were meddlesome and disruptive but who possessed qualities more loveable than formidable. Previous comments note that seeking out ghostly encounters is not a mainstream activity tourists engage in (Rittichainuwat, 2011). Along with the challenges that may be encountered in delivering an experience in a landscape or built environment most often purposed primarily for another reason and moreover, where the appearance of a central character (i.e. a ghost) is precarious at best, the quality of marketing strategies employed in this service setting are a critical factor for success. It is suggested that this may well be done through the utilisation of EM tenets.

By focusing on the marketing/ entrepreneurial interface this work makes a significant contribution to the literature within the context of dark tourism and more specifically, ghost tourism. Secondly, and most importantly, conceptually EM is considered at an early stage of development, whereby “although entrepreneurship and marketing are individually acknowledged as a major factor in improving firm performance, their dynamics are still an area with limited theory and empirical work being undertaken” (O’Cass and Morrish, 2016, p. 2) and the benefits up for debate (Morgan et al., 2015). This paper addresses a call for more insights into the underlying dimensions of EM and their interactions (Morris et al.,
Morris et al. (2002) conceptualized seven underlining dimensions of EM and their framework forms the basis for exploration in the ghost tourism context. Here, through a case study of ghost tourism, work is progressed by exploring these dynamics in an area of niche tourism where the raison d’etre relies on an almost purely experiential product, created “in the moment” and contingent on value generated within the guide/ audience dyad through performance and imagination. This study is important to scholars and academics alike, as it demonstrates the application of EM dimensions to the business model of ghost tours, thereby contributing further to the larger research domain of entrepreneurial marketing. EM incorporates the elements of marketing orientation (MO) through the implementation of the marketing concept (Cadogan et al., 2002; Kohli and Jaworski, 1990; Narver and Slater, 1990; Ngo and O’Cass, 2012) along with entrepreneurial orientation (EO) via factors such as risk-taking, and innovative and proactive behaviours (Baker and Sinkula, 2009; Miles and Arnold, 1991; Rauch et al., 2009). In the context of ghost tours, EM is used to build and sustain a viable business through delivery of a memorable experience to tourists, and importantly, the creation of customer value and satisfaction. Ghost tours, like all dark tourism products, rely on a factual basis for generation of the dark or morbid stories featured within the tourist experience on offer (Gentry, 2007; Holloway, 2010; Inglis and Holmes, 2003; Thompson, 2010). Therefore, it is feasible to suggest that using EM, ghost tour operators draw upon these facts and past historical events to form a platform for EO activities that support the expression of MO through curation of a “ghostly” customer experience that fulfils customers’ needs and wants.

This study draws upon data from five countries (United Kingdom, United States, Canada, Australia and New Zealand). Each have a distinct settlement and development history (albeit from a Western perspective only), thus providing a rich platform for analysis. The study draws heavily upon the concept of bricolage (Denzin and Lincoln, 2000;
Kincheloe, 2001; Valtonen, 2010) (and in particular at the data collection and analysis stages), a methodological hybridity that allows circumstances to shape methods used and adapt as the study context unfolds (Valtonen, 2010). Exploratory enquiry is relevant for this study due to the limited work available on EM and tourism and where the nature of it is not well established. The overall aim therefore is to understand the extent and processes by which ghost tourism operators practice EM. In order to establish this, Morris et al.’s (2002) framework is used as one that has utility, based on its articulation of identifying underlying dimensions of EM that allow measurement. By investigating the phenomenon of EM as it exists within ghost tourism this work contributes to both EM and tourism literature. To guide our study, the following research questions are posed:

RQ1. To what extent do ghost tourism operators practice EM in their business?

RQ2. Are the seven underlying dimensions Morris et al. (2002) depict as inherent in EM applicable to the context of ghost tourism?

The remainder of our paper is as follows. First, the background to the study is given. This includes a description of the nature of ghost tourism as a niche tourism product, thus providing a context for the study. The second part of the background section discusses the conceptual perspectives of EM chosen to use as a framework for the study. In the next section, the study’s method is laid out: the process by which the appropriate study sample was accessed and identified and how the various data collection procedures were applied, along with the analysis treatments used. The first analysis reported categorises the rich data drawn from the data collection techniques into common overarching themes that encapsulate the world of the ghost tour operator. A reflective analysis follows as discussion that scaffolds information gained in the thematic analysis into the EM arena. Here the themes identified are interrogated by overlaying conceptual dimensions of EM to reveal the extent to which they...
are present in the practices of ghost tour operators. To conclude, limitations, managerial recommendations and future research suggestions are offered.

Framing literature

*Ghost tourism*

The meaning of the term ghost has varied over the centuries (Davies, 2007). Here, Davies’s (2007) definition is used as “manifestations of the souls of the dead before the living” (p. 2). A ghost may be a manifestation of a person or an animal. Whilst those whose ghosts may well have met chilling and tragic ends, this form of “light” dark tourism is buffered by the passage of time, as events that have been relegated to history books or local folklore. Despite ghost tours seemingly fitted best to a quirky or niche market, they do contribute significantly to tourist offerings most often in the “old town” of modern-day towns and cities. Their popularity in the mainstream tourist segment shows signs of growth (Gentry, 2007) with even the United Kingdom (UK) National Trust promoting its own haunted buildings on its website (UK National Trust, 2013). Today the increasing popularity of ghost tours has been attributed to a global rise in the interest of the paranormal and a growing public fascination with death enlivened in part by programmes such as “Most Haunted” featured on mainstream television (Davies, 2007). Although people’s attraction to sightings of ghosts and hauntings has been evident since medieval times, there have been few documented examples of commercial marketing of haunted sites before the twentieth century (Davies, 2007). There is some debate whether this form of dark tourism and the active pursuit of ghosts should really be entertainment. Garcia (2012) argues in the case of ghost tours that with a focus on entertainment they “ameliorate the human suffering and gore by linking them to the paranormal” (p. 18).
Academic research into dark tourism, of which ghost tourism forms part, has been a recent scholarly endeavour, first emerging within geography (e.g., Blom, 2000; DeLyser, 1999), and then quickly adopted by tourism studies (e.g., Gentry, 2007; Garcia, 2012; Lennon and Foley, 2000), where it was categorised as a subfield or lighter shade of so called dark tourism (Bowman and Pezzullo, 2009). Gentry (2007) however suggests ghost tourism remains a grossly under-analysed aspect of dark tourism. Whilst the typical methodology employed involves researcher observation and customer interviews or surveys before and/ or after a tour (see Reijinders, 2011; Thompson, 2010), there are few that report on the perspective and experiences of the tour operators and guides themselves and in particular, from the perspective of EM. Furthermore, most of the studies are case studies of only single sites. Ghost tourism also has its own set of activities; where the mix of entertainment, horror and historical authenticity are laced together in a unique tapestry. While tourism studies have sought to generate a clear differentiation between “tours of the picturesque, the romantic and the sublime from those of the disgusting, the abject, and the macabre” (Bowman, 2009, p. 187), ghost tourism appears to be between the two, or a hybrid of these. Moreover, the ghost tourist is seen today less as a “disembodied sightseer” but with greater attention paid to the embodied and performative nature of the role. Indeed, there is substantial evidence to indicate that throughout the tour the tourists become active, and a source of ghost tales themselves (Gentry, 2007; Holloway, 2010). In most cases, authors identify entertainment as the main reason behind attending the tour, and place humour as a key aspect of performance and narrative for the effectiveness of tours.

An Entrepreneurial Marketing Lens

EM is an emerging body of literature, initially drawing on the marketing and entrepreneurial scholarship that examines how marketing is evolving to meet challenges present in
contemporary business environments (Hills et al., 2008; Miles and Darroch, 2006). Morris et al. (2002) define EM as:

the proactive identification and exploitation of opportunities for acquiring and retaining profitable customers through innovative approaches to risk management, resource leveraging and value creation (p. 5).

Many authors agree that EM is particularly relevant to entrepreneurs and small businesses whose resource constraints and/or the nature of their business calls for non-traditional or textbook approaches to marketing (Kocak, 2004; Morris et al., 2002; Stokes, 2000). Stokes (2000), writing in the early stages of EM development, suggests that entrepreneurs tend to have qualities of being innovation-oriented and driven by new ideas and intuitive market feel, rather than customer–oriented, or rigorous assessment of market needs. Stokes (2000) further suggests “these processes play to entrepreneurial strengths and represent marketing that is more appropriate than marketing which is second best, due to resource limitations” (p. 1).

The theoretical development of EM over time has taken an iterative course, building on foundations derived from various parent disciplines and schools of thought (Miles et al., 2015). It is fair to say its diverse background and multi-disciplinary contributions have led to EM’s boundary formation and understandings regarded as ever evolving and complex. Hills et al. (2008) present the developmental milestones EM has “enjoyed” over its course, declaring that its definition and scope sit comfortably within the broad definition of marketing. Miles et al. (2015) offer a valuable summary of EM’s heritage by providing a reduction of Hills and LaForge’s (1992) typology, based on 12 schools of marketing thought, to three, as reflective of the emergence of EM. These are: 1) EM as entrepreneurship in marketing 2) EM as networks and relationships in the context of SME marketing, and 3) EM as marketing in entrepreneurship (p. 96). Furthering their investigation of EM’s roots and evolution Miles et al. (2015) also present the locus of EM within firms based on the
persuasiveness of EM as it manifests in a firm. These are: 1) vertical – top management 2) horizontal - exclusively within the marketing function and, 3) temporal – as a stage in the evolution of marketing in an organization or a market (p. 102). To conclude, the authors propose that based on the evidence, EM is progressing toward theory construction and the opportunity for testing of its theoretical explanatory and predictive power.

In order to describe the underlying elements of EM, Morris et al. (2002) developed seven underlying dimensions that “capture the interface between entrepreneurship and marketing and serve as an umbrella for many of the emergent perspectives on marketing” (p. 5). These are: opportunity focus, proactiveness, innovation focus, calculated risk taking, resource leveraging, customer intensity and value creation. Four of the dimensions (proactiveness, calculated risk-taking, innovation-focused and an opportunity focus) are sourced from other scholarly work on entrepreneurial orientation of the firm. Resource leveraging, a fifth dimension, is suggested by Morris et al. (2002) as the single most featured dimension in emergent perspectives on marketing. Finally, the dimensions of customer intensity and value creation reflect the work on market orientation. Morris et al.’s (2002) underlying dimensions framework has been applied to both small and larger businesses alike (see for e.g., Kurgun et al., 2011; Morrish et al., 2010). Table 1 presents the dimensions framework proposed by Morris et al. (2002) with each identified dimension categorised according to the level at which marketing is applied. Within the table, the seven dimensions listed are further elaborated under the headings of what Morris et al. (2002) categorise as the three levels at which marketing is applied: marketing as culture, marketing as strategy and marketing as tactics. Morris et al. (2002) describe culture as the basic beliefs and assumptions a company has regarding their purpose, behaviours and relationship with the outside world. Strategy, the second level, refers to how competitive advantage would be gained within a particular industry and market context. The third level, tactical, incorporates the
implementation approaches that might be employed as tactics and the skills and techniques a marketer might use to facilitate these.

*Insert Table 1 about here*

To date there has been relatively few studies that focus on EM within the context of tourism. For example, Crick and Crick (2016) explore the experience of entrepreneurs in the tourism industry through a single case study approach, suggesting that the social costs of entrepreneurship can be high. A business model that emphasises a strong planning and information gathering approach can mitigate some of the ever-present risks of failure. The authors conclude that maintaining a work/life balance could be difficult and should be included in the planning process, particularly for family firms or those that contain social relationships. Kurgun et al. (2011) examined the interface between marketing and entrepreneurship in their work on EM activity within boutique hotels, concluding that there is clear evidence of entrepreneurial activity. Using Morris et al.’s (2002) seven dimensions of EM to investigate the extent to which these are present in the strategies used by boutique hotels, they conclude there is clear evidence that this practice is being taken up and very applicable to the tourism sector. The work of Kurgun et al. (2011) provides an opportunity to extend Morris et al.’s. (2002) seven dimensions to the context of ghost tourism, as these ventures arguably face many similar challenges to that of boutique hotels in their effort to maintain sustainability based on niche positioning.

**Method**

**Approach**
The choice of a qualitative approach to study EM is appropriate (Hill and Wright, 2000; Martin, 2009). The application of a qualitative bricolage approach, originally coined by Levi-Strauss (1966), brings together a mix of conceptual lenses, data collection techniques and analytical treatments. By using “active and creative use of methods, it allows for circumstances to shape the methods employed and for them to be adapted to the unfolding context of the research” (Valtonen, 2010, p. 129). Bricolage encounters criticism that it “over-theorizes-conceptualizes issues at the expense of a solid, empirically based assessment” (O’Regan, 2015, p. 463) and that mixing and binding methods can be a sign of impurity (Hammersley, 1999). However, as an approach to understand complexity, the bricolage resists “its placement in concrete as it promotes its elasticity” (Kincheloe, 2005, p. 325) and is increasingly gaining acceptance within the field of qualitative enquiry (Denzin and Lincoln, 2000; Kincheloe, 2005; Valtonen, 2010). This study is exploratory and requiring first an in-depth understanding of the practices and servicescape tourist operators and guides curate before determining the extent and depth of EM evident. Therefore, using a mixed conceptual overview (dark tourism and EM), range of data collection sources and two-stage analysis, where EM insights can emerge from a rich data flow, is deemed worthwhile. The bricolage approach used in this study may risk criticism by some for being overly descriptive of derived practice themes, where a more direct route to EM identification through targeted data collection would have resulted in greater parsimony. However, the latter approach risks exclusion of important factors and the rich narrative required to extrapolate EM practices that may well be novel or specific to this context and otherwise overlooked.

Data Collection

Data collection consisted of operator/ guide interviews, website analysis and participant observation during tours. The five countries selected were primarily those where access and travel to several sites was possible. In order to access operators, an Internet search was
carried out to allow for identification of offerings within the targeted areas. This provided contact details for operators, usually by way of an email address provided on the website. The request made included an information sheet about the study and contact details for the researchers. During the targeting phase, sites that advertised a more intense or “scientific” offering (i.e., hunting paranormal activity using specialised equipment) as their only offering were avoided as it was thought these tours offered less of a touristic activity in the main. This direct approach was highly successful and there were few refusals from operators. Once a positive response had been received, prospective interviewees were sent information about the study and a follow-up email made to arrange a suitable date and time. The lead time for interviews could be considered long in some instances (up to three months) as it needed to be at a time a researcher was travelling to the country concerned.

In total, 21 operators and guides were interviewed. Because of travel distances to the various sites, interviews were mostly conducted during the same evening participant observation of a tour occurred. Therefore, of the 21 interviews, 17 were conducted approximately 45-60 minutes prior to a tour departure. Four interviews were conducted away from the tour assembly point or office. Each of these were held in a café or bar at the interviewee’s suggestion. A semi-structured interview guide was used to cover broad questions, thus allowing respondents to present their thoughts freely without excessive structure and leading by the researcher. Within the interview guide were prompting questions that provided opportunity for respondents to talk holistically about their experiences and marketing-related practices. Interviews were conducted in England: London, Oxford and Bath, (6), Wales: Cardiff (2), USA: Salem, Boston and Longbeach, California (6), Canada: Ottawa (1), Australia: Brisbane, Melbourne and Sydney (4) and New Zealand: Napier and Dunedin (2). These countries, although Western in their orientation, have different histories that have given rise to variation in cultures and values. Hence, in part, different circumstances
around their hauntings exist (Owen 2007; Smith, 2013). All except one operator were male, ages ranging from the late twenties through to seventies. Guides were also male, with a similar age range. In total 16 respondents were operators (owners who also acted as guides) and five employed as staff with guide and customer prospecting roles. Where guides were interviewed due to operator unavailability at the time, researchers were assured that the guides had an intimate understanding of the business model used and how it was implemented. Prior to each interview, if the tour or company offering the tour/s used a website, these were investigated. This served to both familiarize the researchers with the company and its positioning as well as provide opportunity to make pre site visit notes on tone, purpose and type of information conveyed to audiences. It also provided a further prompt as to any lines of enquiry that would be beneficial once on site. All except two offerings had a website.

Participant observation involved membership of tour groups. The guide was aware of the researchers’ presence but fellow walkers were not. This allowed for uninterrupted spontaneity and best observation of a “natural” state thus providing valuable ethnographic perspectives. There was no intentional dialogue with other customers other than what would be considered normal conversation a tourist group might have on a one-off walking tour, where relationships exist only for the time span of that tour. Most often two researchers were present on a tour. At the end of the tour manual field notes were made. Each researcher then compared their notes with the other and summarised pertinent remarks. Once on-site perusal of promotional material available was also undertaken (brochures at tourist centres, sandwich boards and other ambient material) and recorded as part of participant observation field notes.

Interpretation
The three main data collection modes were interpreted and systematically integrated into an overall set of themes (Bazeley, 2015). This process involved a coding scheme for interview and observation data developed by the researchers using the approach suggested by Campbell et al. (2013) that was also consistent with extant literature on ghost tourism and reflected the interview guide. The first step involved each of the two researchers deriving codes they felt collectively represented a holistic view of potential items. The researchers then came together and through a process of negotiation reduced a larger pool of codes into a less complicated system and where codes were mutually exclusive. As coding progressed, reliability was tested based on stability, accuracy and reproducibility (intercoder reliability) (Campbell et al. 2013). Likewise, a coding scheme was organised for the websites (including look and feel, use of colour, animation, historical accounts and narrative, positioning and tone, ticket sales, memorabilia for purchase, user generated content [UGC]). This provided a template for recording themes and commonalities/differences amongst websites. Information from the content analysis of websites was synthesised into the emergent thematic analysis through triangulation (Denzin and Lincoln, 2000), checking for convergence of interpretations and researcher perspectives. Establishing themes from the rich integrated data as a first stage led to a rich understanding of what describes and encompasses the environment and practices of ghost tour operators. To begin, and as a starting point, it was intended to loosely tie themes for presentation here according to aspects within Morris et al.’s (2002) definition of EM adopted for the study. It became apparent, however, that themes contained a marked interweaving of several aspects of the definition simultaneously, so a decision was made to lay this aside.

Once the themes had been established, a second deliberative phase (framed as discussion) involved scrutiny of the themes to identify and qualify EM dimensions as laid out in Morris et al.’s (2002) framework. To further reassure researchers that EM dimensions
implied within the themes were essentially the true voice of the respondents and other data collection modes, as necessary, transcripts and field notes were returned to for re-reading. The rationale for using a two-stage mixed analysis approach was that it was felt that applying the EM framework principles directly to the raw data simultaneously with categorisation of emergent practice themes could potentially force or privilege interpretation of data and lead to unintended oversights or bias regarding the nature or plenitude of EM present. Furthermore, given the experiential services nature of ghost tourism, marketing is intrinsically embedded in the frontline interactions with customers (Parasuraman et al., 1988; Wilder et al., 2014). Therefore, consideration of those issues follow in the discussion section where the interaction of EM and ghost tourism is addressed and the themes interrogated. Comment is then made here as to the extent in which EM dimensions are identifiable in the practices and decisions of ghost tourism operators. Emergent themes are now presented.

**Findings: Emergent themes**

1). Essentials for walking on the light side: passion, ghosts and atmosphere

Ghost tour operators are passionate people. Their entry into ghost tourism can be a result of childhood experiences or memories of a family member’s storytelling shaping their interest and creating a passion for the history of an area. Many of the operators conduct tours in the locality they grew up in and have been familiar with the local stories of ghosts and hauntings for much of their lives. For some, experiences of ghost tours they took while travelling themselves have convinced them to create a similar product around their own home location. Others became aware of an unfilled niche while conducting historic tours of buildings during the day and recognise they were continually being asked about ghosts and hauntings at the site so decided to design a ghost tour to meet the market. Overwhelmingly operators could recite their reasons why the popularity of tours was increasing and felt that they were almost certainly “riding the crest of a wave”. Two operators entered the market because of
redundancy from other employment. They were aware of local legend or a long standing reputation for haunting around potential sites which provided the impetus for setting up a ghost tour venture. For one Brisbane operator, the decommissioning of a prison site due to it being no longer fit for purpose provided opportunity for entry into the market. Stories and experiences were often readily available from previous employees such as security guards or staff who had been working on site alone or during the hours commonly associated with haunting.

In some cases, popular culture had also gentrified ghost hunting or the “dark arts” into something that could be explored if it was for entertainment or curiosity. For instance, in Salem, a town notorious for an association with witch trials, the donation to the town by TV Land, (an American cable channel), in 2005 of a statue of Samantha from the popular 1960’s fantasy series “Bewitched” had resulted in tourists flooding into the town. This had ultimately led to the town’s tourism focus changing from one of festivals and avoidance of its witch history to one that embraced and capitalised from it.

The two most common shared values are interest in history and storytelling. There are few barriers to entry for ghost tourism, particularly where licensing is not required. In some locations, particularly English centres, competition can be fierce with up to two or three other companies working the route in the same evening. Access to certain sites may be hard to achieve, particularly if local councils or landowners see the tour as not setting the right tone, or cheap entertainment. Local authorities may not provide access and take some convincing. One operator discussed how he had to wait some time before he obtained access to the grounds of a castle. It was not until his tour was awarded an excellence in tourism award from Trip Advisor that the local authority saw his offering as worthwhile and provided access. A similar barrier can exist where tours cross over burial grounds where the site is still consecrated. Sometimes there has been no formal refusal, so the tour proceeds:
The walk takes place on church property, so I wrote to the church. There was no reply from the church that they are not OK with the tour, so I contribute to the church fund instead to keep them on side (Interviewee: England).

2). Designing and delivering the product: an eclectic but convenient curation

Ghost tours draw together a group of tourists most often in the early part of the evening. Meeting at a nominated place the tour proceeds with a guide, who may or may not be costumed and remains “in character” throughout. An in-character guide certainly heightens the performative/theatrical qualities of the guide but arguably also brings about a more fantastical rather than reality-based aura to the performance. All interviewees emphasised that a rundown of rules and a safety briefing (footwear, traffic safety) is essential prior to commencement. During this time the guide may also provide a little information about themselves - occasionally in-character - but more often of themselves and their credentials as a guide. The safety briefing ironically never provides information on self-protection or actions to take if an encounter with a ghost turns nasty, perhaps providing the more nervous members some assurance that if this were a likely outcome, it would surely be included in the brief. Once the “admin part” of the evening is over, the guide sets about establishing the atmosphere of the tour.

All operators seem to apply a similar structure to their tour. Whether the environment walked through is outdoors around a built up landscape (buildings and open areas), a cemetery, or a single site such as a hotel or decommissioned building, the tour offers a minimum of at least eight ghosts, or put more succinctly, at least one every ten minutes of the average 60-80 minutes spent walking. At pre-designated stops along the way at sites notorious for ghostly manifestations, the guide will draw the walkers in and describe events that precipitated a haunting. The ghost is “introduced” to the group, along with descriptions
of known reports of manifestations. The ghost is usually adult who when seen, is dressed in the costume of its day. Very occasionally the ghost may be an animal, such as a dog. The showcasing of the ghost of a child is rare, although in places where there has been widespread death through disease such as plague, the ghost of an abandoned or lost child may feature. The era of the ghost will also vary, but generally, they belong to eras before the 20th century. One English operator has “no ghosts after the Victorian period”. He puts this down to the rise in popularity of ghost stories in the Victorian period. In Salem (USA) an operator suggests that unlike England, American history has not been recorded so well and this can lead to a shortage of ghosts. He goes on to state that in Chicago there is much more emphasis on gruesome murders than actual ghosts on tours. New Zealand, a country with a decidedly shorter history than the other countries, has mainly early 20th century hauntings to report; perhaps reflective of the relative youth of the country and the absence of devastating plagues and battles that led to widespread death.

A contained tour length of 60-90 minutes stops people becoming bored and ‘wandering off’ and secondly in recognition that tourists have often walked for long periods of time during the day and may fatigue easily. Safety concerns influence both the route and any theatrical additions. As almost all walks start in fading light and then carry on in darkness, walking can become dangerous, particularly as some sites are reached through narrow passageways, gates, and rough terrain or busy locations. In many of the locations, buildings are flush with the pavement. Here, operators battle with traffic noise and passers-by to be heard. This limits the amount of “fright” that can created, as operators are very cognisant of the possibility of startled customers jumping back onto a busy road or uneven pathway.

Customers are diverse. Some operators prefer bookings whilst others are happy with ‘turn-ups’. Often, purchase decisions are via impulse, after a meal for entertainment before
returning to accommodation for the night. When asked what type of customers are more
difficult groups under 30 years or “hen’s parties” are not always desirable because of the
possibility that the tour becomes, in the description of one operator, a “screamathon”.
Intoxication is not tolerated due to safety and potential nuisance value.

Atmosphere and mood are critical to the success of a tour. Many of the sites are
imbibed with their own atmosphere; whether through the patina of age on buildings or natural
elements that come together to create an aura of mysteriousness or intrigue. One operator
reports an early visit to a neighbouring town where he now conducts nightly ghost tours:

I was visiting with my son and walked a path that I hadn’t seen before near the
rugby pitch. It was dripping in atmosphere: feeling old and overgrown with trees.
If I was ever going to see a ghost it would be here, it was a track, not an official
path. A few weeks later I found a book that described the area where I had been
with my son. We had been walking the ‘road of the dead’, the road where the
bodies where taken a century before from the village to the Cathedral
(Interviewee: Wales).

Guides aim to set the mood or tone before the walk begins. This may mean setting rules; no
photography, mobile phones, people with ghost hunting equipment (which cause
distractions). Different tactics are used to stop people from talking and focus their attention.
Lighting is used to create atmosphere. Particularly when the walkers are asked to share their
own stories the lights are turned off.

The atmosphere provides the greatest connection to the ghosts. At night it's very
atmospheric: a wooded lane overhanging trees, mist is rising off the fields and it's
quiet. People are not allowed to have any light on during the stories. Stories are
in the dark and the customers are given torches to light their way (Interviewee: Wales).

For the two hotels included in the respondent group (one a conversion from a historic quarantine station and the other a floating hotel [ex-luxury passenger liner]) a large site provides areas or rooms that are locked off to non-ghost seeking hotel patrons, or open only during set daylight hours. These include derelict hospital wards, mortuaries, and engine rooms and even for the floating hotel, a decommissioned first class swimming pool resplendent in its art deco styling despite being observed by lamplight only. These spaces remain to ensure the historical integrity of the site but due to their relative limited use by the living, ample opportunity for ghosts to lurk is assured. Additionally, entering sites with keys held on the guard, whether an empty building, passage or church burial ground, increases suspense and the sense of being somewhere one “should not be” in real life, when one is not a ghost walker.

3). Packaging the possible: Storytelling in the shadows

Underlying the success of all the tours is storytelling; a key to holding the enthusiasm and interest of the audience. All operators interviewed highly regard their skills in the art of storytelling without forgoing authenticity and view this as a critical success factor. For them, ghost tourism presents a unique occasion where adults can learn and be entertained through stories, particularly in an age where science has stripped imagination and become the dominant accepted way of knowing or regarding truth. One of the tour websites spells this out clearly on its landing page as “visit some of the nation’s most historic haunted sites with the art of ancient storytelling”. Ghost tour operators regard themselves as the keepers of a lost art. Performance is an integral part of storytelling. The extent to which performance is used on the tour along with “gimmicks” and humour depends often on the personality of the operator. Operators believe that a good sense of humour will draw the audience to you. When
an operator employs guides, the tour will often be scripted to ensure historical accuracy and ensure an element of consistency. “Jumping out”, a tactic used to surprise or scare, is not often used (six of the 16 operators only using this). First it can be very unsafe (one operator recalled having to call emergency services for a customer when a costumed staff member jumped out while the group was standing inside a mausoleum engrossed in a gripping story) and second, most of the interviewees regard their tour as “scary enough” without the need for gimmicks. Fifteen of the 21 interviewees dress in costume (Jack the Ripper is common) fitting for the tone of the tour, but only three stay “in character” throughout. Dressing up allows them to “stand apart” from the walkers but still be “with the living”. Guides can be drawn from backgrounds in history, theatre and sometimes because of their knowledge of local history. Guides are sought for their specific qualities; someone that will “mentally embrace the audience, someone that shares intrigue, is open minded, enthusiastic, a good storyteller, engaging but not theatrical, more subtle and who will keep the audience on their toes” (Interviewee: USA). One operator avoids employing actors, since in his mind, they are trained to rely on scripting and once a script has been exhausted, an actor can be “at a loss for what to say”.

4). What if we really do see a ghost?

On every tour it seems someone will ask “will I see a ghost tonight?” However unanimously operators and guides concede that their customers don’t really expect to see one. What they do expect however is an atmosphere where the sensation of anticipation prevails. Operators themselves seem reticent about their belief in ghosts, with “I sort of do”, or similar, a common response (18 of the 21). However some report being scared out of their wits at times, so much so one operator recalls abandoning a tour when everyone became convinced “something was there”. In regard to sensations such as prodding, scratching or tapping, more were forthcoming that they have felt these from time to time. At the end of many tours,
walkers are asked if they want to share their stories. This may be done at the end point of a
tour. Lights are turned off and the customers in a sense become co-creators or prosumers,
where they add to the suspense and intrigue of the night. Invariably many in the group can
recall a paranormal experience.

5). Authenticity

Overwhelmingly, authenticity is considered a vital component of the quality of the tours.
Operators, are familiar with local folklore and nine of the 16 reported undertaking substantial
formal research (library and historical documents searches) into the history of the area and
how the ghost stories have evolved. They seem to take a great deal of pride in their
knowledge. Stories are not invented and all have a basis even with maybe a little
embellishment. One operator reports:

It would be stupid to tell them crap as often as you are talking they are hooked to
the Internet on their smart phones looking it up (Interviewee: New Zealand).

Tours also often have locals present in the audience group who are well versed in local
history. As well as the stories of ghosts and hauntings, guides need a broader knowledge. One
operator recalls how walking through a historic burial ground he is often asked about several
other graves that are not included in the tour. He believes being able to share a good
knowledge of those adds further to the tour’s authenticity.

Tour operators however know “the tricks of the trade” and while most are disparaging
of them, some will use a little “magic” if the situation calls for it. One interviewee spoke of
the fascination people have with orbs appearing in photographs walkers take:
We all know if you shake up the carpet half an hour before the walk comes through you’ll always get someone capturing an orb in their photo (Interviewee: USA).

6). Assuring a ready supply of the living (a.k.a. customers)

In the main, the businesses are small scale with one to two operators only conducting one night tour most nights of the week. Some of the ventures offer variety in the choice of tour. For instance a walking tour around the surrounding area might set off at the same time as a tour walking to a single site such as an old prison. Variety is not always to meet a growing market demand, but more often simple opportunism, where a site or building had become available. Small overheads and relatively small staff costs mean that there is not the constant demand for steady sales or growth. One operator reports that although he likes most of his customers pre-purchasing tickets through the Internet, he relies heavily on turn-ups. If no one comes, he just goes home. Although the winter does show a slowdown, as tourists are less keen to be out in the weather at night, tours continue, rather moving them to an earlier start. Seasonality can affect operations like any tourist enterprise. In larger operations this allows for extra promotions, especially with Halloween and even Christmas. Ironically, in areas where authenticity or associated reputation is strong, this seasonality can be problematic. In Salem, one tour operator says he closes down on Halloween, rather than face or try and operate amongst the hordes of party goers who come into the town that night.

Some operators promote through tourism centres using conventional media such as pamphlets. This is dependent on acceptance by these centres to promote ghost tourism alongside more mainstream tourism (five operators reported resistance by local tourism organisations to carrying their promotional material). For many, word of mouth and customer testimonials are critical. Banners or sandwich boards in locations (dependent on local council rulings) with heavy tourist traffic during the day also work well for the spontaneous purchase.
In areas where competition is high, costumed guides are on the street early in the day where tourist traffic is heavy in an effort to engage with potential customers who might consider coming back in the evening to join a tour. Traditional word of mouth (WOM) works well for the local tourist market, but e-WOM as positive testimonials and UGC (both narrative and photos) on both owner sites and external sites such as Trip Advisor are increasingly key. Websites are diverse in their offering and fall along a wide spectrum of sophistication. Many use imagery to convey meaning; photography, animation and narrative to inspire. Very often, the characteristics and attributes of the tour guide are noted, this being an important aspect of the service encounter. A spooky but fun time is generally emphasised.

Larger operations, in particular the two hotel respondents, show evidence of clearer segmentation, targeting and positioning strategies. Both believe that offering ghost tours alongside their more usual hospitality offerings; a night’s sleep and food services, did not cause conflict or customer resistance, as it was clear on the website that this was the case; also, here more diversity of tours could be offered. For instance, those for children and promoted as “family-friendly fun”. Both hotel sites also offered paranormal investigation experiences but these are contracted out to specialist paranormal “experts”. However, they all agreed that ghost tour offerings as part of the wider product bundle offer were contingent on the current positioning of the hotel/ hotel chain and positive customer feedback.

7). Respecting the sacred amongst the profane

Operators are very aware of past human suffering as a basis for their commercial opportunity. Many operators report the need to record and re-tell these stories sensitively at the same time as meeting the expectations of those whose interest is primarily a paranormal experience or fun. In Salem (USA), one operator discussed how his tour must tactfully integrate the history of the witch trials of the 1690’s as they are now a key reason for the prosperous tourism industry there and cannot be ignored. Likewise, in England, there are stories told of children,
for example, being locked in and abandoned to die alone during the plague who appear as ghosts at a window. This would be expected to impact on the emotions of all but the extremely hardy. In New Zealand, Maori have a deep connection with the land and their ancestors (Amoamo, 2007). One walking tour site is built on land important to ancient Maori. The tour operator discusses how before each tour commences, a short time of reflection is held in the group. In this way, the spirits of the dead are respected. This is the only location where “intercession” to show respect is a formal part of the event and may suggest a different cultural interpretation of connection with the dead, compared with other locations and countries in the study.

**Discussion: EM dimensions identified**

This section turns now to discussion of the extent to which EM is a feature of ghost tourism in order to address the research questions more completely. In order to do this the seven underlying dimensions of EM suggested by Morris et al. (2002) are applied to the earlier themes and as a framework to guide the way in which the data is read. As previously discussed, there was marked interweaving of the aspects within Morris et al.’s (2002) definition of EM observed during thematic analysis. This interweaving is also noted when applying the discrete EM dimensions. However, direct dimension-theme links are provided below where appropriate. To refresh, the themes presented in the previous section are 1). Essentials for walking on the light side: passion, ghosts and atmosphere, 2). Designing and delivering the product: an eclectic but convenient curation, 3). Packaging the possible: Storytelling in the shadows, 4). What if we really do see a ghost? 5). Authenticity, 6). Assuring a ready supply of the living (a.k.a. customers), and 7). Respecting the sacred amongst the profane. Each of the seven underlying dimensions of EM suggested by Morris et al. (2002) found to be evident of EM within the themes are discussed in turn below.
Dimension 1). Opportunity driven: Ghost tour operators have capitalised on the Western global trend of increased interest in the paranormal. As entrepreneurs, ghost tour operators identify opportunities as they appear and move rapidly to secure ownership or access to them (Theme 6). Being in tune with the architecture and history of the land they are able to determine if something is a worthwhile proposition for inclusion and as Stokes (2000) points out, are intuitively driven. This may be taking advantage of a space or place that has become vacant or recently available. For example in Brisbane, knowledge that a prison being decommissioned had an existing history for being haunted within the local community meant that one operator pursued access and permission to use the site as a key component of his product offering (Theme 1). Likewise, the operators whose tours were based in hotel sites made use of spaces that no longer had a current function or usage (for example in one, the original first class swimming pool). Opportunities are also realised from a location’s unique history and tours designed to incorporate that. For instance, a town associated with gruesome murders will offer tours built around that theme, such as Jack the Ripper tours in London. Where once past tragedies or brutal historical events may have been viewed by local inhabitants as a stigma or legacy to avoid, ghost tour operators maximise this to harness the touristic potential (Theme 1). While acknowledging the historic events as misconceived or regrettable, tour operators incorporate the history to create atmosphere and interest whilst maintaining authenticity and ultimately turning the past to advantage for product development (Themes 2 and 7).

Dimension 2). Proactiveness: Flexibility and ability to adapt to a changing environment is evident through the findings (Theme 1). With few barriers to entry competition can be intense. Holding market share can merely be the quality of storytelling or the way the guide engages its audience (Themes 3, 5 and 6). One operator states that
protection from competitive forces comes from ensuring that you are known for maintaining quality:

Yeah, there a no barriers to entry really. With the whole paranormal thing kicking in it just went crazy. You could put up a sign tomorrow and start. So you have to adapt. The strength lies in being solid. Essentially we’re story tellers. The guides don’t just stand and point, they re-enact it. In a small place like this, no one can really gain a foothold, as they know I have it and that I’m good. (Interviewee: USA).

Dimension 3). Innovation-focused: Ghost tour operators design innovative products to create value for their customers. A landscape, whether bricks and mortar or a natural setting may well have an “atmosphere” inherent to it, but it is the tour operator who maximises the blank canvas to create a servicescape that meets expectations and satiates the hedonic thirst of customers (Theme 2). The core product, essentially a short walk in the dark, becomes an experience aimed at exhilarating and heightening feelings so that the customer group becomes for a time at least, acutely aware of what might be “in the shadows”. Tours are adjusted as a result of customer feedback and new tours or promotions added as opportunity avails itself (Themes 1, 3 and 6).

Dimension 4). Customer intensity: Operators know the importance of getting a group to gel at the beginning of a tour. Likewise aspects of practice discussed under value creation as co-creation are equally applicable here as “marketing as tactics”, where creative relationship management is employed through the encouragement of imagination or suspension of disbelief. A shared excitement and enthusiasm is also recognised as necessary for success. This can be difficult to achieve within the first few minutes, given the group can
be from a wide range of demographics, some potentially with limited knowledge of the local language. While authors talk of the need to control for drunkenness or other forms of disruptive behaviour, boredom within the group or people wandering off can be equally disturbing (Themes 1, 2, 3 and 4).

**Dimension 5). Risk management:** Although there are fewer barriers to entry and capital requirements to set up a ghost tour enterprise, there are risks. Access, withdrawal of permission from a landowner or local council to a key site, or changes in regulations and licencing requirements are all factors that can rapidly come about and are therefore regarded as a constant potential risk (Theme 1). Likewise, if an operator has a contract with another business for access to a site, such as a hotel, a change in strategic direction or product focus could also lead to loss of what is essentially the main asset of the business. For instance, a hotel may decide to try and shed its reputation for being haunted and focus on more lucrative ventures such as weddings, considering the two as non-compatible ventures to accommodate on the one site (Theme 6). In some areas, ghost tourism may still be stigmatised and not considered a bona fide tourism activity. If a local tourist network decides to exclude promotion of ghost tourism, or even if costs are prohibitive, this leaves the operator outside of many of the usual ways of communicating with potential customers and reliant on more creative ways to connect (Theme 6).

**Dimension 6). Resource Leveraging:** As small business ventures, ghost tour businesses are often established and sustained on limited budgets with extremely lean infrastructure. This means that staff attributes such as passion and the ability to capture audience imagination and intrigue through story telling is critical to success. Consequently, for the storyteller as owner, or as an employee guide possessing the desired skills (passion and knowledge) are a critical resource (Themes 1, 2 and 3). Local folklore also provides opportunity for resource leveraging. It is often through folklore that stories of haunting are
passed down. By capitalising on this, understanding the roots and origins of the stories and then referring to additional sources for information (such as libraries), operators provide an authentic re-telling of the event behind the ghost encounter. To harness what the environment offers, operators capitalise on what they “see” as potential to curate a ghost tour touristscape (and that others often cannot) and the communication skills possessed within themselves or staff to produce commercial profits (Themes 2, 3 and 5). As one operator reports, landscape that has little value commercially for any other business can be considered as gold to a ghost tour operator:

We tour around the [] cemetery. It’s a Victorian Gothic cemetery with lots of well-known early locals buried there. It’s such a place nobody really cared about and had lots of gory stories associated with it. I said, we’ve got to go there, it’s just stunning. (Interviewee: New Zealand).

Dimension 7). Value Creation: Creating value for customers through satisfying experiences is vital. The needs and wants of customers are a top priority (Theme 6). As it is highly unlikely the full force of a “real” ghost will be encountered en route, what constitutes customer satisfaction must be clearly understood. Therefore providing the “chance” of seeing a ghost is the value within the exchange. For this to occur, the creation of atmosphere and effective storytelling about historical “others” that brings to the fore human emotions that resonate within the lifeworld of present day walkers is essential. On the tour, customers also work together to co-create the value of the intangible component of the tour (Themes 2, 3 and 4):
People on the tour, I think they’re completely normal. I don’t have many fruitcakes on the tour. If they share their experiences the whole thing is more convincing for everyone. It’s made me a believer (Interviewee: England).

This co-creation can also be made more formal by the guide encouraging group members to share their stories at the end of a tour:

Stokes (2000) found that entrepreneurial marketers rely heavily on customer word of mouth or customer recommendation to increase their customer base. Encouraging UGC on tour sites or external travel sites such as TripAdvisor with shared tour photos and any “ghostly encounters” captured (orbs, shadows, unusual shadows or figures captured in images) creates engagement, credibility and interest within the prospective customer group and works toward building community and establishing brand value (Theme 6). This is especially important in a tourism offering that is essentially an experience-based pure service.

Conclusions, Managerial Recommendations and Future Research

The use of a bricolage qualitative approach provided a unique opportunity to investigate ghost tourism from the perspective of tour operators as they conduct marketing within their business. As their products are overwhelmingly service and customer experience-based (common to many small tourism ventures), it is not easy, or appropriate, to investigate marketing strategies and tactics they use as an isolated dimension of their business. Rather marketing engaged in was considered as a complex intertwining of factors conceived and delivered both outside of and inside the ghost tour itself. From the interpretation of the data and the themes drawn from it, whether ghost tour operators practised traditional marketing in a textbook prescribed fashion or whether there was strong evidence that satisfied the
dimensions of EM was considered. To do this the seven underlying dimensions laid out by Morris et al. (2002) were used and applied to the broad themes identified through primary and secondary data collection. Whilst a relatively prescribed formula has been identified across the tours and as Garcia (2012) suggests, a homogenisation of offering, the study concludes that strong elements of EM are observed. In particular, each of the EM dimensions were found: opportunity driven, proactiveness, innovation focused, customer intensity, risk management, resource leveraging and value creation present and interwoven throughout the practice themes.

It can be further concluded that the EM dimensions proposed by Morris et al. (2002) identified in this study exist mainly at the cultural and tactical levels of the framework. Factors suggested as relevant to marketing as strategy within the framework are less prominent in our findings. This may be because the vast majority of the operators are sole traders or owner-operated with few staff, and therefore, do not have a strongly articulated company marketing strategy. For example, a slim infrastructure and absence of company bricks and mortar may reduce the influence of resource leveraging at the strategic level. Likewise, arguably value-creation is embedded at the tactical level rather than a clearly developed strategy due to the intensely experiential nature of the ghost tour product with its heterogeneous and co-created sources of customer value.

In regard to managerial recommendations, it is important that ghost tour operators recognise the often entrepreneurial nature of their business and the importance of effective marketing for sustainability. For many of these operators traditional marketing is not effective (Kocak, 2004; Morris et al., 2002; Stokes, 2000), and other evolutionary forms of marketing, such as those the EM dimensions framework brings together appear favoured. An understanding of these, and how to generate value created by them, is essential. Although EM harnesses the exploitation of resources and creativity to maximise commercial opportunity
and profits provided by tragedy, such a strategy remains a much contested issue in dark tourism (Garcia, 2012) and one from which entrepreneurial marketers cannot be exempt. In ghost tourism, entertainment and embellishment of stories are a strong component of innovation-focused and value-creation dimensions. However, operators need to be mindful of the fact that they are important keepers and re-tellers of stories around human suffering and tragedy, which may impose limitations on the exploitation of resources that ethically should be leveraged to build and promote their products.

Future research could apply the framework in more detail to identify and discriminate what parts of the dimensions make up the cultural, strategic and tactical layers more specifically. The appropriateness of Morris et al.’s (2002) framework to examine tourism ventures that operate with extremely lean organisational structure or focus heavily on entrepreneur/ customer value generation co-created in the moment would be worthwhile. Research into the dynamics of EM and evolving conceptualisations of S-D logic (Vargo and Lusch, 2004, 2008; Vargo et al., 2008.) is emergent (see Whalen and Akaka, 2016) but would be valuable in the context of experiential products such as ghost tourism. A study focusing solely on ghost tour ventures that are incorporated as part of a service bundle of organisations with larger infrastructure, such as hotel chains, would be helpful in eliciting EM dimensions at the “marketing as strategy” level for special interest tourism. In addition, this study has been limited to ghost tours and may not be generalisable to other dark tourism ventures, particularly those of a darker nature on Stone’s (2006) spectrum. Examination of EM across the broader context of dark tourism, particularly incorporating ventures of a darker nature, or those with more institutionalised or government-sponsored support would also be valuable.

To conclude, this study contributes to a focus on EM in the context of tourism; in particular, to understanding the interactions between EM and the marketing of experiential products. Further research in the area is welcomed.
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Table 1: Applying Entrepreneurial Marketing at Three Different Levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EM Dimension*</th>
<th>Marketing as Culture</th>
<th>Marketing as Strategy</th>
<th>Marketing as Tactics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Opportunity driven</td>
<td>A philosophy of continuous recognition and pursuit of opportunity without regard to</td>
<td>Strategies focusing on new products and markets based on expanded opportunity</td>
<td>Real-time intelligence to find untapped opportunities; Alternative methodologies to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>resources controlled</td>
<td>horizon</td>
<td>discover unmet needs; Rapid learning from market experiments so as to redefine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>opportunities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proactiveness</td>
<td>Action orientation, organisation as agent of change, redefining industry practices</td>
<td>Defining new market positions; leadership of customers and markets</td>
<td>Speedy development and launch of new products and marketing approaches; Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and challenging assumptions</td>
<td></td>
<td>experimentation with untested guerrilla and viral tactics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovation-focused</td>
<td>Philosophy that promotes new and different solutions; leading the customer, and firm</td>
<td>Continuously redefining the product and market context, strategy to manage a</td>
<td>Active participation of marketing on teams developing major innovations; Highly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>as innovation factory, spirit of healthy dissatisfaction</td>
<td>portfolio of innovations</td>
<td>inventive approaches to new product and service development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Intensity</td>
<td>Reinforce passion for the customer; Marketer as agent for the customer</td>
<td>Strategic customer-focused interaction, bringing the customer into the firms</td>
<td>Customisation via segmentation and niche marketing, creative relationship management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>planning and operations</td>
<td>tactics, incentives to encourage organisational learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk management</td>
<td>Comfort level with random variance and ambiguity</td>
<td>Managed risk through higher levels of innovation and more rapid organisational</td>
<td>Employment of initiatives to mitigate risks through alliances, test markets, trial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>learning</td>
<td>launches, lead user research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource leveraging</td>
<td>Resourcefulness in doing more with less; marketer as middleman tapping a network of</td>
<td>Leveraging drives strategic decisions regarding core processes, outsourcing</td>
<td>Exploit underutilised resources and skills, creative methods for contracting, bartering,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>non-imitable competencies</td>
<td>strategic alliances</td>
<td>sharing, borrowing, renting, outsourcing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value creation</td>
<td>Vigilance in seeking novel sources of value from throughout the firm</td>
<td>Value-based strategies designed around customer intimacy</td>
<td>Continuous exploration for novel sources of customer value in each element of marketing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source: Morris, Schindehutte, and LaForge (2002) Table 3, p.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mix</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Heading adapted from original source