SELF-WARNING HYBRID COMPOSITE PATCHES FOR REPAIRING CRACKED ALUMINIUM PANELS
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Abstract

In this paper, a new concept for health-monitoring of bonded patch repairs is presented. Aerospace structures using aluminium panels occasionally crack and bonded composite patches are one of the repair methods available to maintain the integrity of the structure. Further damage beneath the repair patch cannot be monitored from simple visual inspection alone with standard repair techniques. The new proposed health-monitoring technique is based on the novel concept of overload sensors using hybrid composites, and has great potential for monitoring crack propagation in the main aluminium substrate, allowing passive, reliable and easy structural inspection to be achieved.

1. Introduction

Cyclic loading causes crack propagation in structures, particularly metallic ones, which may lead to a catastrophic failure, and thus have devastating consequences. It is essential for any cracks to be detected early and to allow repairs to be carried out before it is too late. Cracked aluminium panels can be repaired using mechanically fastened or bonded composite patches. Traditional mechanically fastened patches used to repair cracked aluminium panels require extra fastener holes, which increases the number of stress concentrations and changes the stress distribution within the structure. Elevated stresses can reduce the structure’s fatigue life because the stress concentrations encourage crack propagation and also provide sites under the patch for corrosion[1]. Bonded composite repair patches address this issue as they do not require fastener holes and prevent crevice corrosion underneath the patch because the adhesive interface is sealed. Mechanically fastened repairs and bonded composite repairs were compared in several works [1–3] and it was concluded that bonded composite repair patches provided higher patching efficiency as well as minimal stress concentrations, corrosion issues and damage to the parent structure [4].

The main aim of this paper is to demonstrate the feasibility of the new concept of self-warning hybrid composite patches for repairing cracked aluminium panels. Thin-ply hybrid composites have been shown to provide pseudo-ductility and changes of appearance under certain load cases [5]. These properties can be exploited when hybrid patches are bonded onto the artificially cracked aluminium panel.

2. The concept – Overload sensors
The idea of overload sensors originated in the University of Bristol during experimental tests on pseudo-ductile hybrid composites [5,6]. In addition to achieving gradual failure due to ply fragmentation, well designed hybrids change appearance which was found to be consistent with the fibre failure strain of the lower strain material in the hybrid combination.

A unidirectional hybrid composite sensor is comprised of glass/epoxy layers with a higher failure strain and carbon epoxy layers with lower failure strain [7]. The sensor is attached to a substrate/component, and the originally intact carbon layers absorb the incident light passing through the translucent glass layer, exhibiting a dark appearance as illustrated in Figure 1 (a). After exceeding the failure strain of the ‘sensing’ carbon layer, the incident light is reflected from the locally damaged glass/carbon interface resulting in the appearance of light stripes around the cracks in the carbon layer as seen in Figure 1 (b).

![Figure 1 - Schematic describing the overload sensor mechanism: (a) intact carbon layers absorbing light at glass/carbon interface (b) change of appearance (striped pattern) due to light being reflected from the locally damaged glass/carbon interface [7].](image)

3. Patch design

In this paper, the mechanism for change of appearance in overload sensors is used to design a composite repair patch that indicates critical situations e.g. an overload or crack extension in the substrate. The hybrid overload sensor(s) are generally designed to have the least possible stiffness so that the sensor does not change the local strain distribution. However, for a repair patch, it is important to have enough stiffness in the patch so that the load in the damaged area of the substrate is significantly reduced in the main cracked panel and carried instead by the patch.

The size and design of the test specimen shown in Figure 2 was based on specimens used in [8], and were modified to be compatible with the Instron 250kN test machine. A trade-off study between critical stress and having a noticeable crack propagation was carried out to find the suitable initial crack length in the cracked aluminium panel. The critical stress $(\sigma_c)$ was calculated using Equations 1-3, where the shape factor $Y$ is given in Equation 2 for a centrally cracked panel. The critical stress is the stress that would cause rapid crack propagation in a plate for a given crack length. For the 7075-T6 cracked panel, the critical stress was calculated to be $136\text{MPa}$ using a fracture toughness $(K_{ic})$ and initial crack length of $25\text{MPa}\text{m}^{1/2}$ and $20\text{mm}$ respectively.

---

M Jalalvand, H Wu, F Sheibanian, M Fotouhi, M Wisnom
Figure 2- The aluminium specimen with initial 18 mm central crack used for the quasi-static tensile and fatigue testing

\[ K_{IC} = Y \sigma_c \sqrt{a} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

\[ Y = \sqrt{\sec \left( \frac{\pi a}{W} \right)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

\[ \sigma_c = \frac{K_{IC}}{\sqrt{\pi a}} \cos \left( \frac{\pi a}{W} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

An R-ratio (σ_max/σ_min) of 0.09 was used in the fatigue loading cycles where the maximum stress (σ_max) was 110MPa, which was approximately 80% of the critical stress, and the minimum stress (σ_min) was 10MPa. The critical crack length (a_c) at this maximum stress was determined by using the bisection method from the rearrangement of Equation 3 to form Equation 4, this yielded a result of 14.0mm. Hence, the total critical crack length became 28.0mm.

\[ \frac{a_c}{\cos \left( \frac{\pi a}{W} \right)} = \frac{K_{IC}}{\sigma_{max} \sqrt{\pi}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

ABAQUS was used to create a FE model and calculate the Stress Intensity Factor (SIF) at the crack tip of test specimen before and after a repair had been made. Symmetric boundary conditions were applied at the two planes of symmetry to enable a quarter model to be representative of the structure. The Seam crack technique built-in ABAQUS, was assigned to the model to define the site of crack initiation and
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crack front direction. A fixed boundary condition was assigned to the bottom surface of the aluminium specimen and a mean applied stress of 60MPa was applied to the upper surface of the cracked specimen. Quadratic 20-noded C3D20 solid elements were used in the analysis to evaluate solutions at more nodes and full integration was used to prevent the ‘hourglass effect’ that could be caused from using reduced integration. Initially, FEA was performed for an unrepaired specimen to find the SIFs at the crack tip using built-in J-integral function of the software and the results found to be consistent and close to the analytical results.

The hybrid composite parts were then created and connected using ‘ties’ to the aluminium panel. This model was used to find the SIF for the repaired panel. A loop was created to automate the calculation of several SIFs for a range of different configurations. SIFs for a range of cracked lengths, glass and carbon layer thicknesses were stored in an output file. The first five J-integral contours that were close to the tip of the crack were considered for each node at the crack tip alongside the thickness SIFs and were both averaged together to determine representative results. These averaged SIFs were used to derive the shape factor function (Y) for this specific configuration (repaired panel with central crack) that was substituted into Equation 5. Four elements through the thickness were used to capture the stresses within the aluminium specimen as shown in Figure 3. A refined mesh was created in the section enclosing the crack in Figure 3 (a), which was transferred to the patch shown in Figure 3 (b) to avoid incongruent meshes that can result in inaccurate results for SIFs and displacements.

\[ \Delta K = Y \Delta \sigma \sqrt{\pi a} \] (5)

The patch’s materials were selected in a way that the low strain layers do not fail due to ultimate loading conditions but overloads cause fibre failure in the low strain material and accordingly a change of appearance. Additionally, higher patch moduli will ensure a better and quicker load transfer from the cracked panel to the composite patch. This resulted in the selection of the YSH-70 fibre prepreg as the low strain material using the technique developed for hybrid composite materials [9]. These fibres have a considerable longitudinal prepreg modulus of 430 GPa with a fibre failure strain of 0.5%. S-glass prepregs are used for the high strain material. This is a strong and stiff glass fibre with prepreg longitudinal modulus of 45.6 GPa and a failure strain of over 4%. Thin-ply hybrid composites were developed where high modulus carbon fibre plies (YSH70) were sandwiched between the compliant S-glass fibre layers.
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4. Experiments

The initial aluminium specimens were made using a water-jet cutting machine to cut the outline of the test specimen. A wire-cutting electrical discharge machine was used to introduce an 18mm crack into the specimen, with a width of 0.25mm caused by the diameter of the wire. The desired 20mm crack length and sharp crack tip was achieved by applying subsequent fatigue cycles on the specimen. The layup configuration for the hybrid composite is illustrated in Figure 4. To avoid sudden change of thickness for glass and carbon layers, plies were dropped gradually to spread the shear stress concentration. The top glass layer is the largest layer covering all other layers.

![Layup sequences of hybrid composite repair patch](image)

Araldite 2014-1 two-part epoxy resin adhesive was used to bond the cured hybrid composites onto the cracked aluminium specimens in an oven, this was chosen for its high shear strength and it is commonly used in the aerospace industry. Maximum shear strength was achieved from curing the bonded specimens for three hours under atmospheric pressure at a temperature of 70°C. Hand clamps were used with additional aluminium plates to maintain the position of the repair patches during oven curing.

An Instron MJ6274 250kN test machine was used to apply the quasi-static tensile load and fatigue loads on the specimens using 100mm wide grips with a clamping pressure of 120 psi. Extensions in the specimen were measured using an Imetrum video gauge system and recorded by means of a data acquisition software. The white dots on a black background defined at specific gauge lengths were tracked by the video gauge system to calculate the extension on the specimens. A digital single-lens reflex (DSLR) camera captured images of crack propagation throughout the fatigue test and a cold-light lamp was used to change the brightness and contrast in the images. The configuration of the set-up is shown in Figure 5.
5. Results

The 20mm central crack in these specimens reduced the ultimate tensile strength (UTS) to 213MPa which was about a third of the original value in the stock material of 566MPa [10]. Repairing these specimens through bonding hybrid composite patches increased the strength by approximately 60% as shown in Table 1, however it did not reach the original UTS. The combined stiffness of the hybrid composite patch was greater than the aluminium specimen alone, therefore it increased the stiffness of the repaired specimens compared to the unrepaired specimen as illustrated in Table 1.

Table 1 – Summary of specimen strength, normalised modulus and stiffness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen Name</th>
<th>Normalised Strength</th>
<th>Stiffness (kNmm⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stock Material</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>192.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrepaired specimen</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>161.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repaired specimen</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>204.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Changes of appearance on the repaired specimen shown in Figure 6 were noticeable above the threshold load in the quasi-static tensile test at different applied stresses, this showed the progression of crack propagation underneath the repair patch and occurred when the specimen was overloaded. Figure 6 shows the central carbon layers fracturing visible through the outer glass layers and successfully demonstrates the self-warning ability of the repair patches.
6. Conclusion

A novel idea to achieve a new functionality in bonded composite repair patches is presented. The patch successfully increased both the strength of a cracked aluminium panel whilst indicating the critical state of crack extension in the aluminium panel. This maintained structural integrity and could be used as a warning to the end-users about crack propagation and overload in highly loaded components. This is useful as the structural health can be determined from a simple visual inspection which is economical and quick to carry out.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded under the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) Programme Grant EP/I02946X/1 on High Performance Ductile Composite Technology in collaboration with Imperial College, London.

References


